

NATURAL LANGUAGE PROCESSING IN HEALTHCARE

A Special Focus on Low Resource Languages

Edited by Satya Ranjan Dash Shantipriya Parida Esaú Villatoro Tello Biswaranjan Acharya Ondřej Bojar

NATURAL LANGUAGE PROCESSING IN HEALTHCARE

As Natural (NLP) gets more and more traction in healthcare applications, there is a growing demand for developing solutions that can understand, analyze, and generate languages that humans can understand. This book showcases the current advances and scenarios of NLP-based solutions for healthcare and low-resource languages.

Natural Language Processing in Healthcare: A Special Focus on Low Resource Languages covers the theoretical and practical aspects as well as ethical and social implications of NLP in healthcare. It showcases the latest research and developments contributing to the rising awareness and importance of maintaining linguistic diversity. The book goes on to present current advances and scenarios based on solutions in healthcare and low-resource languages and identifies the major challenges and opportunities that will impact NLP in clinical practice and health studies.

This book is self-contained, comprehensive, and will be useful to researchers, academicians, technologists, and students.

Innovations in Big Data and Machine Learning

Series Editors: Rashmi Agrawal and Neha Gupta

This series will include reference books and handbooks that will provide the conceptual and advanced reference materials that cover building and promoting the field of Big Data and Machine Learning which will include theoretical foundations, algorithms and models, evaluation and experiments, applications and systems, case studies, and applied analytics in specific domains or on specific issues.

Artificial Intelligence and Internet of Things

Applications in Smart Healthcare Edited by Lalit Mohan Goyal, Tanzila Saba, Amjad Rehman, and Souad Larabi

Reinventing Manufacturing and Business Processes through Artificial Intelligence *Edited by Geeta Rana, Alex Khang, Ravindra Sharma, Alok Kumar Goel, and Ashok Kumar Dubey*

Convergence of Blockchain, AI, and IoT

Concepts and Challenges Edited by R. Indrakumari, R. Lakshmana Kumar, Balamurugan Balusamy, and Vijanth Sagayan Asiryadam

Exploratory Data Analytics for Healthcare

Edited by R. Lakshmana Kumar, R. Indrakumari, B. Balamurugan, and Achyut Shankar

Information Security Handbook

Edited by Abhishek Kumar, Anavatti G. Sreenatha, Ashutosh Kumar Dubey, and Pramod Singh Rathore

Natural Language Processing In Healthcare

A Special Focus on Low Resource Languages Edited by Satya Ranjan Dash, Shantipriya Parida, Esaú Villatoro Tello, Biswaranjan Acharya, and Ondřej Bojar

For more information on this series, please visit: https://www.routledge.com/Innovations-in-Big-Data-and-Machine-Learning/book-series/CRCIBDML

NATURAL LANGUAGE PROCESSING IN HEALTHCARE

A Special Focus on Low Resource Languages

Edited by Satya Ranjan Dash, Shantipriya Parida, Esaú Villatoro Tello, Biswaranjan Acharya, and Ondřej Bojar



CRC Press is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an **informa** business First edition published 2023 by CRC Press 6000 Broken Sound Parkway NW, Suite 300, Boca Raton, FL 33487-2742

and by CRC Press 4 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 4RN

CRC Press is an imprint of Taylor & Francis Group, LLC

© 2023 Taylor & Francis Group, LLC

Reasonable efforts have been made to publish reliable data and information, but the author and publisher cannot assume responsibility for the validity of all materials or the consequences of their use. The authors and publishers have attempted to trace the copyright holders of all material reproduced in this publication and apologize to copyright holders if permission to publish in this form has not been obtained. If any copyright material has not been acknowledged please write and let us know so we may rectify in any future reprint.

Except as permitted under U.S. Copyright Law, no part of this book may be reprinted, reproduced, transmitted, or utilized in any form by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying, microfilming, and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the publishers.

For permission to photocopy or use material electronically from this work, access www. copyright.com or contact the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc. (CCC), 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, 978-750-8400. For works that are not available on CCC please contact mpkbookspermissions@tandf.co.uk

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

Library of Congress Cataloguing-in-Publication Data A catalog record has been requested for this book

ISBN: 978-0-367-68539-3 (hbk) ISBN: 978-0-367-68540-9 (pbk) ISBN: 978-1-003-13801-3 (ebk)

DOI: 10.1201/9781003138013

Typeset in Times by MPS Limited, Dehradun

Contents

Preface Editor Biog	
Chapter 1	A Clinical Practice by Machine Translation on Low Resource Languages1
	Rupjyoti Baruah and Anil Kumar Singh
Chapter 2	Feature Analysis and Classification of Impaired Language Caused by Brain Injury19
	Marisol Roldan-Palacios and Aurelio López-Lopez
Chapter 3	Natural Language Processing for Mental Disorders: An Overview
	Iacer Calixto, Victoria Yaneva, and Raphael Moura Cardoso
Chapter 4	Healthcare NLP Infrastructure for the Greek Language61
	Aristides Vagelatos, Elena Mantzari, Mavina Pantazara, Christos Tsalidis, and Chryssoula Kalamara
Chapter 5	Formalizing the Recognition of Medical Domain Multiword Units
	Kristina Kocijan and Krešimir Šojat
Chapter 6	HealFavor: Machine Translation Enabled Healthcare Chat Based Application
	Sahinur Rahman Laskar, Abdullah Faiz Ur Rahman Khilji, Partha Pakray, Rabiah Abdul Kadir, Maya Silvi Lydia, and Sivaji Bandyopadhyay
Chapter 7	Development of a Machine Translation System for Promoting the Use of a Low Resource Language in the Clinical Domain: The Case of Basque
	Xabier Soto, Olatz Perez-de-Viñaspre, Maite Oronoz, and Gorka Labaka
Chapter 8	Clinical NLP for Drug Safety159
	Bhasha Khose and Yashodhara V. Haribhakta

Chapter 9	Language- and Domain-Independent Approach to Automatic Detection of COVID-19 Fake News	189
	Ángel Hernández-Castañeda, René Arnulfo García-Hernández, Yulia Ledeneva, and Griselda Areli Matías-Mendoza	
Chapter 10	Employing Computational Linguistics to Improve Patient-Provider Secure Email Exchange: The ECLIPPSE Study	211
	Renu Balyan, Danielle S. McNamara, Scott A. Crossley, William Brown III, Andrew J. Karter, and Dean Schillinger	
Index		243

Preface

As technology enters more and more areas of our lives, including healthcare situations, there is a growing demand for developing automatic solutions that can understand, analyze, and generate languages that humans speak. The case is more challenging for the low-resource languages. Without a special focus on these languages, the quality of the processing would not be sufficient and the health care solution would not reach the majority of the population who could obtain the benefit. The goal of this book is to collect current advances and scenarios of language processing solutions for healthcare and low-resource languages. Data extracted from the clinical text and clinically relevant texts in languages other than English add another dimension to data aggregation. After years of neglect, low-resource languages (be they minority, regional, endangered, or heritage languages) have made it to the scene of computational linguistics, as increased availability of digital devices, which makes the request for digital usability of low-resource languages stronger. Much clinical information is currently contained in the free text of scientific publications and clinical records. For this reason, Natural Language Processing (NLP) has been increasingly impacting biomedical research. NLP researchers face the need to establish clinical text processing in a language other than English, and clinical informatics researchers and practitioners are looking for resources and NLP tools and techniques for their languages to speed up clinical practice and/or investigation.

The primary element in communication is information exchange. People living in less connected areas are often unable to get the kind of information they need, due to various socioeconomical and technological barriers. As a result, they miss out on crucial knowledge required to improve their well-being. Technology pervades all aspects of society and continues to change the way people access and share information, learn and educate, as well as provide and access services in the healthcare sector and others. Language is the main medium through which such transformational technology can be integrated into the socioeconomic processes of a community. NLP and speech systems, therefore, break down barriers and enable users and whole communities with easy access to information and services.

NLP is an active field of research that aims to teach computers to understand human language. Low-resource natural language processing has recently attracted much attention among NLP researchers due to its need and potential. The lowresource languages are languages that have not enough digital data to train robust NLP technologies, and as a result, few or no automated language processing systems exist for them. The research on these languages and building NLP applications for such languages can reinforce the ties between the world and ensure its diversity. The ability to analyze the clinical text in languages other than English opens access to important medical data concerning the cohorts of patients who are treated in countries where English is not the official language. This edition aims to capture NLP developments in healthcare and their applications across scientific disciplines for lowresource languages. It helps students, researchers, and professionals of the NLP community as well as interdisciplinary researchers involved in the field. Chapter 1 describes a clinical practice by machine translation on low-resource languages. The chapter starts with the history of translation technologies that have played a vital role in the various crisis and relief scenarios as the Haitian earthquake in 2010 and Translators without Borders (TWB), respectively. The recently dissolved Standby Task Force (SBTF) deployed NLP to tackle misinformation during Coronavirus Pandemic 2019. Chapter 2 presents feature analysis and classification of impaired language caused by brain injury. Language impairment occurs from different illnesses, having a variety of causes. This focuses on the analysis of impaired language caused by a traumatic brain injury (TBI), which can vary from aphasia, apraxia, dysarthria, or other sorts of alterations. Chapter 3 represents a review of NLP for mental disorders. In this chapter, the authors provide an overview of NLP applications and datasets dedicated to address problems related to mental health. The chapter focuses on the different applications proposed, the types of data sources these applications use, and the languages they cover.

Chapter 4 presents an interesting example, healthcare NLP infrastructure for the Greek language. The infrastructure was developed initially for the processing of general language, and extended later on to incorporate biomedical texts as well. The infrastructure comprises: (a) components developed de novo to meet the needs of the domain-specific requirements, such as a biomedical corpus, a generic and applicationindependent medical ontology, and a multi-word term extraction mechanism, (b) general language processing tools that were enhanced for the processing of the corpus, such as tokenization and sentence splitting tools, and a lexicon-based morphosyntactic tagger. Chapter 5 deals with the recognition of medical domain multiword units (MWU) in texts written in Croatian language. The focus is on the automatic recognition of complex MWUs in low resource settings. Chapter 6 developed HealFavor, a chat-based application for healthcare which is extended with machine translation. This application is inherently designed to personalize the interaction between the user and the system. It allows the user to interact with the system as they would interact with a real-life person, and hence its design must provide real-time feedback and deliver precise decisions. Chapter 7 focuses on the development of a machine translation system for promoting the use of a low-resource language in the clinical domain. In this chapter, the authors describe the approach of developing an MT system for translating clinical text from Basque into Spanish.

Chapter 8 represents the study of various approaches proposed by researchers for detecting and extracting Adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs) from clinical reports, electronic health records, patient narratives, patient's social media queries, and posts. Chapter 9 proposes that methods for detecting fake news so far have assumed that all content in a document is deceptive; however, those texts can include truthful claims. This study shows that the performance of fake news detection can increase if the text is first automatically summarized. The summarization process removes secondary ideas from documents and deceptive claims then stand out clearer for the subsequent classification as truthful or deceptive. The classification results on datasets in Arabic and English languages show an F-measure over 92%. The last chapter presents a setting employing NLP tools to improve patient-provider secure email communication via machine translation.

Editor Biographies

Dr. Satya Ranjan Dash is a computer professional, with his research interest in machine learning, deep learning with NLP, Computational Biology, and Biomedical domain. He is currently working as an associate professor at KIIT University, India. His current research includes Natural Language Processing, particularly text summarization, topic detection, language detection, machine translation for low resource languages.

Dr. Shantipriya Parida is working as a Senior AI Scientist at Silo AI, Finland since Feb 2022. Before Silo, he was working as a post-doctoral researcher at Idiap Research Institute, Switzerland. His current research includes natural language processing, particularly text summarization, topic detection, language detection, machine translation for Indian languages, multi-modal machine translation, and corpus development for low resource Indian languages. Before Idiap, he was working as a Post-doctoral researcher with Prof. Ondřej Bojar at Charles University, Prague working on machine translation, and deep learning. He has expertise in machinelLearning, AI, computational neuroscience, product development, system/ solution architecture. He is an organizing committee member for Workshop on Asian Translation WAT2019, WAT2020, WAT2021, and WAT2022. Along with Prof. Ondřej Bojar, he organized the WAT Multimodal-Translation Task for many Indian languages (Hindi, Malayalam, and Bengali). He is part of the program committee/ organizer for many top-tier NLP conferences and workshops.

Dr. Esaú Villatoro Tello holds a tenure position at the Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana campus Cuajimalpa (UAM-C) in Mexico City. Currently, he is an academic visitor at Idiap Research Institute in Martigny Switzerland. His main research interests are related to Natural Language Processing, particularly authorship analysis, and non-thematic text categorization. He is an active member of several research groups and NLP organizations: the Language and Reasoning research Group at UAM-C, the Laboratory of Language Technologies at the National Institute of Astrophysics, Optics and Electronics, the Mexican Association for Natural Language Processing (RedHisTAL) and the Mexican Academy of Computer Science (AMEXCOMP).

Biswaranjan Acharya is an academic currently associated with Kalinga Institute of Industrial Technology Deemed to be University along with pursuing a Ph.D. in computer application from Veer Surendra Sai University of Technology (VSSUT), Burla, Odisha, India. He has received MCA in 2009 from IGNOU, New Delhi, India and M.Tech in Computer Science and Engineering in the year of 2012 from Biju Pattanaik University of Technology (BPUT), Odisha, India. He is also associated with various educational and research societies like IEEE, IACSIT, CSI, IAENG, and ISC. He has two years of industry experience as a software engineer, a total of ten years of experience in both academia of some reputed universities like Ravenshaw University and the software development field. He is currently working on research area multiprocessor scheduling along with different fields like Data Analytics, Computer Vision, Machine Learning, and IoT. He has more than 50 patents on his credit both national and international. He published some research articles in internationally reputable journals as well as serving as a reviewer.

Dr. Ondřej Bojar is a lead scientist in the field of machine translation in the Czech Republic. He works as an associate professor at the Institute of Formal and Applied Linguistics at Charles University. Machine translation has been in the center of his research interests since 2005, early in his Ph.D. studies. He has been regularly participating and since 2013 co-organizing WMT shared tasks with a specific focus on translation into Czech. His system has dominated English-Czech translation in the years 2013–2015, before deep learning and neural networks fundamentally changed the field. Ondřej's main focus now is a little broader and entails machine learning in general with explicit aims towards meaning representation and natural language understanding, including speech processing.

1 A Clinical Practice by Machine Translation on Low Resource Languages

Rupjyoti Baruah and Anil Kumar Singh Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Varanasi, India

CONTENTS

1.1	Introduction: Medical Translation	1
1.2	Importance of MT System and Low Resource Languages	
	1.2.1 Low Resource Language	
	1.2.2 Structure of Medical Word-Formation	4
	1.2.3 Difficulties of Medical Translation	6
1.3	Approaches to Building MT System	6
1.4	Learning Transparency for Patient	
1.5	Evaluation Procedure and Metric on Medical Domain	10
1.6	Current Technologies	12
1.7	Conclusion	14
Note	2S	15
Refe	erences	15

1.1 INTRODUCTION: MEDICAL TRANSLATION

Machine Translation (MT) is a sub-field of computational linguistics that automatically translates words or phrases of one human language into another. MT is widely applied to the medical field due to the current growth in interest and success of new language technologies. Medical translation involves the communication of knowledge dealing with various specialties, such as psychology, sociology, pharmacology, psychiatry, and surgery. It is a specialized field of translation in providing healthcare assistance to minorities or foreigners. Hospitals across the country constantly require translation services to ensure fair treatment and correct diagnoses of patients' particular problems. It is crucial to clarify the aspects of an ailment with a doctor and a doctor to fully understand their treatment details. Medical translators are responsible for translating *patient records or medical-legal documents*, hospitals' informational brochures, instructions of use for the medical equipment into a second language. In addition, translators prepare medical files for patients who seek medical advice outside their country of residence. Medical translators require much attention to accurately translate various documents ranging from labels to

DOI: 10.1201/9781003138013-1

Timeline	Digitalization of Clinical Text
800 BCE to ~ 200 CE	Divine and mystical \rightarrow observation and reasoning
1452–1516	Printed in Greek medical texts
1000–1800 AD	Greek and Arabic terminology by transliteration
End of 1800 AD	Local languages retaining the Græco-Latin
1964–1966	ELISA as a psychotherapist

TABLE 1.1

Rise of clinical text towards application

brochures, medical and patient journals, training materials, and patents. Clinical document translation must be both medically precise and culturally sensitive. Medical professionals rely on medical translations to diagnose patients and monitor the treatment progress of their foreign-speaking patients. Translators have hand-picked clinicians and doctors who understand the content based on the first interaction with patients locally. Medical translators have related education and work experience in clinical laboratories, hospitals, community health centers, nursing homes, doctors' offices, blood donation centers, and other healthcare facilities. The misuse of medical terminology, inaccurate translation, or carelessness when translating medical reports and medical records can have serious consequences. The keys to producing a successful translation are a "lover of language, attentiveness, a hospitality to pursue mysterious terminology, and caring enough to get it exactly right".

Medical jargon is full of sequences of words and idioms, which may sound unusual in everyday speech. Scientific language is a long-standing partner for clinical research organizations. A survey of medical translators (O'Neill 1998) reported that translators are not physicians but specialize in medical translation. Furthermore, the study revealed that medical translators acquire background knowledge by joining different medical courses, studying medication, working in a situation directly or indirectly related to healthcare, or participating in medical translation courses. Translation requires more than translating phrases in one language for another, adhering to grammar rules, and choosing the appropriate register. A translator has an excellent command of both the source and target languages and needs to be very sensitive to written and implied words. In the literature, several levels are passed to reach the current medical-digital applications. They are increasingly being developed in health prevention, diagnostics, and therapy to promise great benefits and potential. Table 1.1 depicts a timeline with the corresponding rise in digitalization of clinical text.

1.2 IMPORTANCE OF MT SYSTEM AND LOW RESOURCE LANGUAGES

MT plays an essential role in a society where different languages are spoken. It removes the language barrier and digital division in society by providing access to all the local languages that a person can understand.

During the Haitian earthquake in 2010, translation technologies played a crucial role in various crisis and relief scenarios that act as disaster prevention and management. Air, land, and sea transport facilities, communication systems, hospitals, and electrical networks were damaged by the earthquake, which hampered early rescue and aid efforts. The earthquake caused an urgent need for outside rescuers to communicate with Haitians whose only language is Haitian Creole. As a result, a mobile translation program to translate between English and Haitian Creole was quickly written (Lewis 2010). Microsoft research developed a web-based English/ Creole translator on the Internet, adding disaster-specific words and phrases to the database. Building a more robust system, Microsoft regularly updates more parallel sentences and phrases in the system by taking medical terminology and other emergency-type notifications and translating them into Haitian-Creole. In addition, Microsoft Translator's extensive API provides support to other software and Web sites. Developers trained an MT engine (Lewis 2010) by searching parallel data compiled by linguists (Rogl 2017), pre-translated medical terms for the rescue teams as Creole to English emergency text messages.

The Covid-19 pandemic showed that the NLP and telehealth technology are not just passing trends in the medical industry. On the contrary, Covid-19 has hit businesses like never before, and the health sector has found it very hard to cope with this sudden change in reality. However, it saw challenges and opportunities which it did not see in the last few decades. As a result, the healthcare industry has transformed rapidly in the last decade. Patient data management is now electronically managed using Electronic Health Records (EHR) or Electronic Medical Records (EMR). With the Covid-19 pandemic and its consequences of lockdowns, the medical industry took responsive measures as lucky enough to benefit from the outbreak, such as supermarkets and the home health with fitness niche. Medical translation has shaped the staggering progress and collective international effort to deal with the coronavirus outbreak made throughout 2020. Coronavirus outbreak has multiplied the logistical barriers for medical interpretation. Medical interpreters must work remotely, multiplying the challenges for front-line doctors and non-English-speaking patients. These issues are not unique to Covid-19. Recently, Ebola outbreaks in Africa and natural disasters in Haiti have seen localized problems. Those worst affected by such issues do not necessarily speak the same language as aid workers and national organizations. Thus, it makes a case for increased attention to language translation in crisis communication.

One of the most pressing challenges has been delivering health advice and guidelines to the people in their native language. However, the issue persists for people residing in different countries when they are not native speakers of the national language. The medical translation practices and translation technology allow us to close these language gaps.

1.2.1 LOW RESOURCE LANGUAGE

Low-resource languages are those that have relatively fewer data available for training conversational AI systems. For example, among 7011 world languages,

there are still several languages that are native to a sizable number of people but which may not have considerable amounts of data sets for training an AI model. The importance of providing accurate and human-developed low-resource translations ensures that they do not leave behind individuals with limited English proficiency in response to natural disasters for public safety. MT is currently being developed in the clinical field to improve patient-provider and patient-staff communication in multilingual clinical settings and increase access to health education resources in low-resource languages.

India is a multilingual country as most people speak and understand more than one language or dialect that uses a different script. A famous aphorism depicts India's linguistic diversity: "कोस कोस पर बदले पानी और चार कोस पर वाणी" (Every 3 km (approximately 1 "kos"), the taste of water changes, every 12 km, the language). Articles 344 (O'Neill 1998) and 351 of the Constitution of India, titled the Eighth Schedule, recognizes 22 languages as official languages of the states of India. India is home to more than 19,560 languages or dialects and nearly 97% population in the country call one language included in the 22 scheduled languages as their mother tongue. The remaining 3% speak other languages, according to the Census 2011¹. With a 121 crore population, 121 languages are spoken by 10,000 or more people in the country.

Language is a critical element of culture and language diversity increases the cultural richness and beauty of linguistic diversity of literature from these different languages. The state of Assam is a gateway of India's North Eastern Region close to its international borders with Bangladesh and Bhutan. Assamese, recognized as an official language of Assam, a branch of Indo-Aryan language, is the easternmost Indo-European language, spoken by over 14 million speakers and serves as the lingua franca of the region. Assamese is an anglicized form of the actual name Asamiya (অসমীয়া). The sister language of Assamese are (Bengali, Maithili, and Oriya) developed from Magadhi Prakrit. The Assamese script has a total of 52 characters with 41 consonants and 11 vowels similar to the Devnagari.

1.2.2 STRUCTURE OF MEDICAL WORD-FORMATION

Most anatomical and clinical terms used in medicine today are Latin or Latinized Greek words, the origin of which can be traced back to the fifth century BC. The physician in ancient Rome or Greece communicated in native languages. Latin was the pre-dominant language used in medicine until the 18th century. Following are a few examples of Greek and Latin prefixes and suffixes with their meaning in Table 1.2 (Fischbach 1998; DžUGANOVá 2013; Karwacka 2015; (42)). When it splits the whole term into its components, then it readily grasps the meaning. As an example, hypoglycemia broken down into hypo (below normal), glyc (sugar), and emia (blood) indicates an insufficient blood sugar level.

Medical terms are similar to learning a new language, like jigsaw puzzles. Terminologies are constructed of small pieces that make each word unique, but the pieces can be used in different combinations in other words as well (Chabner 2020). Studying medical terms analyzes the words by breaking them into parts;

Tenxes and sumkes man deel meanings			
Prefix	Meaning	Suffix	Meaning
a-	absence of	-algia	pain
brady-	slow	-ectasia	dilatation
dys-	difficult	-ectomy	excision
hyper-	above normal	-emia	blood

TABLE 1.2

Prefixes and suffixes with their meanings

terminology is related to the human body's structure and function, and identical pronunciation with a different meaning. The formation of medical word analysis is shown below:

- Morphological through derivation, compounding, abbreviation.
- Medical terms have pretty regular morphology, derived from Greek and Latin languages. The root words are combined with prefixes (start of a word) and suffixes (end of a word). The vowel "o" acts as a connection to the prefix to root words. Medical terms can contain multiple root words in various combinations:
 - Myocardium(মায়'কাৰ্ডয়ািম) = myo- (prefix) + card(ium) (root)
 - Endocarditis(এণ্ড'কাৰ্ডাইটছি) = endo- (prefix) + card (root) + -itis (suffix)
 - Cytology(চাইট'লজী) = cyt(o) (root) + -logy (suffix))
 - Gastroenterology(গম্ট্ৰ'এণ্টৰে'লজা) = gastr(o) (root) + enter(o) (root) + -logy (suffix)
 - Adenoma(এডনে'মা)= aden(o) (root) + oma (suffix)
 - Hydroxynitrodihydrothymine(হাইড্ৰ'ক্সনিাইট্ৰ'ডাইহাইড্ৰ'থায়মাইন) = Hydro (root) + xy (suffix) + nitro (root) + di (suffix) + hydro (root) + thy (suffix) + mine (suffix)
 - Hydroxywybutine (হাইড্ৰ'ক্সবিউটাইন) = Hydro (root) + xy (suffix) + wy (suffix) + butine (suffix)
- Most medical terms are compound words made up of prefixes, suffixes and may include multiple roots. Examples are blood donor, blood pressure, and blood group, etc.
- An abbreviation is a contracted form of a word or phrase such as (AIDS (এইড্ছ), HIV (এইচ.আই.ভ.ি), and Covid (ক'ভডি)).
- Collocation: co-occurrence or combination of words on the syntagmatic level.
 - collocations: haematostasia
 - synonymic variations: myeloproliferative syndrome→myeloproliferative disease and myeloproliferative disorder
 - forming of multi-word phrases: Coronavirus disease 2019
- Borrowing words from other languages: loan words are lexical borrowings adopted from foreign languages by root-for-root, word-for-word, or literal

translation. Some examples are chorion, diabetes, myopia, ophthalmia, pneumonia, trauma (Greek origin), femur, humerus, occiput, mandible, puncture, pulp (Latin origin), and diarrhea, diphtheria, disease, dislocation, malaise (French origin)

Clipped words occur after discarding either the final (examination:পৰীক্ষা), beginning (university:বশ্বিবদ্যালয়), central (influenza: ফ্লু) or end part (poliomyelitis: পলআি').

1.2.3 DIFFICULTIES OF MEDICAL TRANSLATION

Most people without a medical education do not understand the meaning of some typical sentences (text has its definition) written as Triage notes. The sentences do not have a subject due to those not being grammatically correct. Doctors annotate concisely by using a lot of jargon. These are the typical sense of the word but not considering a different language. NLP practitioners have the responsibility for cleaning these texts by using off-the-shelf NLP libraries and algorithms.

Consider the example of de-identified triage notes for deciphering taken from emergency room visits.

- States started last night, upper abd, took alka seltzer approx 0500, no relief. nausea no vomiting
- Since yesterday 10/10 constant Tylenol 1 hour ago. +nausea. diaphoretic. Mid abd radiates to back
- Generalized abd radiating to lower × 3 days accompanied by dark stools. Now with bloody stool this am. Denies dizzy, sob, fatigue.

Sentences have different semantics: "Sob" might be shortness of breath, different grammar: "since yesterday 10/10" (10/10 refers to the intensity of pain), and diverse vocabulary (abdominal can be abd).

1.3 APPROACHES TO BUILDING MT SYSTEM

MT solutions for the healthcare industry can broadly be categorized into rule-based, statistical, and neural types similar to usual MT categories. The evolution of MT is depicted in Table 1.3. Early Rule-based MT (RBMT) language experts manually

TABLE 1.3			
Evolution of machine translation			
Timeline	Evolution of MT		
1950–1980	RBMT		
1980–1990	EBMT		
1990–2015	SMT		
2015-Till Date	NMT		

crafted many rules to translate one language into another language. It relies on sophisticated built-in linguistic rules, millions of bilingual dictionaries for each language pair, and extensive lexicons with morphological, syntactic, and semantic information. These rules were applied to the input text and generated the translation of the target texts. Users can improve translation quality by adding terminology into the translation process by creating user-defined dictionaries, which override the system's default settings. A quality RBMT system is computationally expensive due to training time and ongoing improvement of the system.

A significant development in MT happened in the 1990s when companies like IBM started to leverage statistical models that significantly improved translation quality. The corpus-based Statistical Machine Translation (SMT) approach to learning by automatically searching sentences and translating them into the target language. SMT searches for patterns in a large number of parallel texts able to assign the probability of a sentence from the target language being the translation of another sentence from the source language. Building an SMT system requires a massive number of parallel corpora between source and target languages at the sentence level. The quality of SMT extensively depends on the language pair of the specific domain being translated. The corpora building can often be challenging in the healthcare industry. There is a massive variation in named entities such as diseases, chemical compounds, active ingredients, gender, symptoms, dosage levels, dosage forms, route of administration, date, location, location-species, and adverse reaction. SMT technology is CPU intensive and requires an extensive hardware configuration to run translation models at a satisfactory performance quality. So, companies began to experiment with hybrid MT engines, which commonly combined SMT with RBMT. These advancements popularized MT technology and helped adoption on a global scale. The current state of the art in MT technology is Neural Machine Translation (NMT) harnesses the power of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and uses neural networks to generate translations. Language translation technology is continuously changing, bringing new functionalities and more significant benefits to the medical industry. The end-to-end training paradigm of NMT is the powerful modeling capacity of neural networks that can produce comparable or even better results than traditional MT systems. NMT uses a single large neural network to model the entire translation process, freeing the need for excessive feature engineering and employing continuous representations instead of discrete symbolic representations in SMT.

An encoder-decoder network is quite successful in different Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) variations in NMT consisting of two components: an encoder that consumes the input text and a decoder that generates the translated output text (Wolk and Marasek 2020). The encoder extracts a fixed-sized dense representation of the different length input texts. The task of the decoder is to generate the corresponding text in the destination language based on this dense representation from the encoder (Bahdanau et al. 2014; Cho et al. 2014). In 2017, MT made another technological breakthrough in NMT with the advent of transformer model (Vaswani et al. 2017) which is a state-of-the-art model for neural MT (Wu et al. 2016; Lakew et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2019). The model uses self-attention to speed up the training with significantly more parallelization. It follows the architecture of the



FIGURE 1.1 Schematic diagram of medical-text translation.

encoder-decoder model by using stacked attention and point-wise fully connected layers for both the encoder and the decoder. The encoder can work on the input sequence in parallel and the decoder is auto-regressive. Previous output symbols influence each output and output symbols are generated one at a time (Figure 1.1). Several works in MT, especially in the health domain on low resource languages, are summarized in Table 1.4 below.

Since the application scenarios and markets for MT are extensive, many companies and organizations in different parts of the world are making attempts to build their own MT systems. Very few of them have focused on the medical and pharmaceutical industry, perhaps because of its tremendous difficulty in translation and high information security requirements. The professional translators convey the original tone and intent, considering cultural and regional differences between source and target languages. Professional medical translation services with the localization industry can help healthcare professionals make more informed decisions regarding treatments or medical procedures. As the English language has not been widely used for official communication in Assam, professional Assamese translation services can help them capture the healthcare industry. The trustworthy translation services (Integrated Language Solutions², Translation agency³, PEC Attestation, Apostille & Translation Services India Pvt. Ltd.⁴, Shakti Enterprise⁵, Somya Translation Pvt. Limited⁶, Linguainfo Language Translation Company⁷, TRIDINDIA⁸, and Honey Translation Services⁹) specifically related to medical and healthcare professionals supported by Assamese and other Indian languages save the lives of human beings.

TABLE 1.4

Major MT works on medical domain in low resource languages

Article	MT Approach	Evaluation/Key Findings
Zeng-Treitler et al. (2010)	RBMT	Babel Fish not adequate for medical records
Ruiz Costa-Jussà et al. (2011)	RBMT/SMT	SMT better than RBMT
Wu et al. (2011)	SMT	Best results of German, French, and Spanish
Dwivedi and Sukhadeve (2013)	RBMT	English-Hindi MT system for Homoeopathy.
Patil and Davies (2014)	SMT	GT not reliable for medical communication
Liu and Cai (2015)	Hybrid/SMT	GT performed better than hybrid system
Limsopatham and Collier (2015)	SMT	Map media messages to medical concepts
Wołk and Marasek (2015)	NMT	Comparison of SMT-NMT on Polish-English
Arcan and Buitelaar (2017)	NMT	Translating highly domain-specific expressions.
Khan et al. (2018)	NMT	Transfer learning by initializing parameters of NMT.
Skianis et al. (2020)	NMT	Generic, language-independent medical terminology translation

1.4 LEARNING TRANSPARENCY FOR PATIENT

It is essential to provide proper care to the patient. We can enhance the patient experience as it is always an epicenter of what technology evolved in the medical field by keeping patients' empathy in the heart, which is the best experience. Nowadays, people are more worried about their quality of care by moving to various doctor's chambers. The value-based care providers can create a care gap or performance report compared with a peer provider or government agency that enhances the value-based model. NLP can impact the patient experience and also value-based care. A patient can schedule the doctor's appointments online and prepare a form for the next day while staying away or sitting at home. In free-text clinical notes, much pertinent information for making correct predictions and recommendations is only available in healthcare. The free-text documents in the unstructured form are trapped enormously. NLP is a significant part of accumulating data from professional documents and clinical notes. The NLP is the primary use to transform the free (unstructured) text in documents and databases into normalized (structured) text. The structured data were suitable for analysis needed to make healthcare decisions that drive machine or deep learning algorithms. Horng et al. (2017) illustrate the benefit of extracting vital sign data and free text data to identify patients speculating of a life-threatening infection. These investigations used NLP to extricate data from the clinical text. Electronic health records (EHR) have become more prevalent across hospitals by implementing inpatient or ambulatory EHR systems.

Several MT systems for mobile or web applications facilitating doctor-patient communication have been built for low and under-resourced languages. Ahmad et al., (Musleh et al. 2016) developed a real-world Hindi-English SMT system for doctor-patient communication.

As healthcare advances to evolve to a more patient-oriented approach, patient expectations and demands will significantly push electronic communication. Many patients interested in using e-mail or other social media to communicate with their doctors are interested in receiving online health information from their doctor's office. For increasing numbers of providers and patients, Web messaging linked to a patient EHR is likely to become the preferred communication channel for routine clinical communications. A potential drawback of Web messaging is that it provides a less robust means of communication. Audio-video recordings allow patients to share information with caretakers and family members accurately. Experts agreed that one of the most significant benefits of recording visits is improving patients' recall and understanding of their medical conditions. Web messaging can be optimally integrated into healthcare delivery to improve safety, quality, and efficiency.

1.5 EVALUATION PROCEDURE AND METRIC ON MEDICAL DOMAIN

The evaluation of MT systems is important since its results show the degree of output reliability and are exploited for system improvements. Some freely available commercial software has implications of incorrect medical translation due to limitations in quality and considering ethnic diversity (Zeng-Treitler et al. 2010; Taylor et al. 2015; Anastasopoulos et al. 2021). There are many types of automated translation technology in the marketplace that can help automate the medical translation process. At times it becomes difficult for the translator to find exact words while translating because they have never heard of the new terms or esoteric expressions. An automated service was not a great fit for specific disaster vocabulary. The automatic translations hampered the Covid-19 response in some areas shown negatively impact communities of individuals with limited English proficiency during natural disasters. For example, in 2017, an automatic translation of a wildfire notice in California's Ventura county mistranslated the word "brush fire" using the Spanish word for "hairbrush" in place of "brush".

An inadequate translation in the medical field or misplacing of a word by a nonprofessional can lead to tragedy and cost a massive amount in medical malpractice compensation. In 2007, 47 patients had gone through a second knee replacement operation due to inaccurate translation. The translator translated the phrase "non-modular cemented" as "without cement" or "non-cemented", resulting in painful methods that needed months of recovery. In 1980 at Florida hospital, Willie Ramirez ended up quadriplegic because a certified medical interpreter in Oregon translated the Spanish word "intoxicado" as "intoxicated" which means "ingested something" (Spanish). A slight mistranslation can lead the healthcare practitioner down the wrong path, although they realize that a mistake was made, which may be difficult to backtrack in later stages.

Unlike general translations, medical translations should be done by highlyqualified translators who possess tremendous knowledge in the specific field. The quality of the text depends on sound medical knowledge and personal interest in the text.

A Clinical Practice by Machine Translation

Many anatomical and clinical terminologies that persist in medicine today are Latin or Latinized Greek words. These words can be traced back to the golden age of Greek civilization during the fifth century BC. Microsoft announced that Microsoft Translator would help users translate conversations, street signs, websites, and documents to Assamese language and vice-versa.

Evaluating the quality of MT requires an automatic method as human evaluation would be highly time-consuming and cost-inefficient to be evaluated. The criteria of translation quality are its adequacy and fluency. The most common evaluation metric is BiLingual Evaluation Understudy (BLEU) (Papineni et al. 2002). Given a human-generated reference sentence with a corresponding translated sentence, it calculates a score by comparing *n-gram* overlap. Two separate translators can not produce identical translations for the same sentence in the same language pair. A set of several rounds of iterations are required for fulfilling the client's requirement. Automated translations find difficulties in interpreting contextual and cultural elements of a text and quality is dependent on the type of system and how it has been trained.

The adequacy, fluency measures the effectiveness of a translation. Adequacy expresses meaning from the source language to the target language. Fluency measures the grammatically well-formed and ease of interpretation of the sentence (idiomatic word choices). Different word choices and changing the word order that conveys the same meaning is the challenge of evaluating translations for a sentence.

BLEU, a corpus-based metric, calculates the automatic quality score for MT systems that estimate the correspondence between a translated output and a human reference translation (Papineni et al. 2002). The primary notion of BLEU is closer to a professional translation with its machine-translated output. BLEU counts the number of matches by comparing the *n*-gram of the candidate translation with the *n*-gram of the reference translation. The more matches, the better the translation quality, where matches are independent of their positions.

A low BLEU score means a high mismatch. A sequence of words or tokens occurring within a given window (where n is window size) is known as n-gram. A perfect match and mismatch result in a score of 1.0 and 0.0, respectively. A translation that possesses exact words as in the references (more than one reference translation) satisfies the adequacy. The longer n-gram matches between reference and candidate translation tend to capture fluency. A BLEU score runs on a scale from 0 to 1. The score is expressed as percentages rather than decimals (turned into a 0 to 100 scale) for better readability.

Mathematically, the BLEU score formula consists of two parts: the brevity penalty (BP) and the n-gram overlap are shown in the following Equation (1.1).

$$BLEU = BP. \ exp \sum_{n=1}^{N} w_n logp_n \tag{1.1}$$

where
$$BP = \{1, if \ c > re^{\left(1 - \frac{r}{c}\right)}, if \ c \le r \text{ and } P_n = \frac{\sum Count (n - gram)}{\sum Count (n - gram)}$$

The brevity penalty (BP) penalizes the BLEU score if the candidate sentence is shorter than the reference sentence. BP compensates for the possibility of high precision translation that is too short. Here \mathbf{c} is the total number of unigrams



FIGURE 1.2 Schematic diagram of evaluation.

(length) in all the candidate sentences, and **r** is the sum of effective reference sentence length for each candidate sentence in the corpus. The two essential characteristics of translation are adequacy and fluency captured by the modified ngram precision \mathbf{p}_n score. \mathbf{w}_n is n-gram precision weight. BLEU uses the value of **N** as 4. The number of word count for each candidate to its associated maximum reference count is a clip. Figure 1.2 depicts an evaluation process of the MT system using an automated evaluation matric BLUE. The test corpus of English(Eng)-Assamese(Ass) shows a dummy score of 28.8 for English to Assamese translation.

An example of reference sentence and its several translated (candidate) outputs from English (source) to Assamese (target) are illustrated here. The n-gram match (length) between candidate translation and the given target reference is shown at the end of each candidate translation.

Source Text: Wearing a face mask is compulsory in all public places. Target Text: সকলনে ৰাজহুৱা স্থানত মুখা পন্ধাটনে বাধ্যতামূলক । Candidate1: মুখা পৰধািন কৰাটনো এক বাধ্যতামূলক নয়িম । (2-gram) Candidate2: মুখৰ মুখা পন্ধাটনে বাধ্যতামূলক । (3-gram) Candidate3: ৰাজহুৱা ঠাইত মুখা পন্ধাটনে বাধ্যতামূলক কৰা হছৈ। (4-gram) Candidate4: সকলনে ৰাজহুৱা স্থানত মুখৰ মুখা পন্ধাটনে বাধ্যতামূলক । (5-gram)

Nowadays, close derivatives of BLEU (METEOR, NIST, LEPOR, and F-Measure) are often used to compare the quality of different MT systems in enterprise use settings. Researchers worked on evaluating medical terminologies by SacreBLEU, BLEU, METEOR, and TER metrics as well. Skianis et al. (2020), attempted to develop a first baseline translation from English to French on numerous medical terminologies and datasets leveraging SMT and NMT present promising results for the (International Classification of Diseases) ICD11 classification (Skianis et al. 2020).

1.6 CURRENT TECHNOLOGIES

This section discusses the current state-of-the-art of MT technologies in clinical practices, healthcare, and medicine. Further, we investigate additional recent innovations in technology that can leverage the language industry within healthcare.

In 2010, deep neural network-style machine learning methods became widespread in natural language processing and achieved state-of-the-art results in many tasks. It is increasingly important in healthcare and medicine, where NLP is being used to analyze text and notes in electronic health records. Different state-of-the-art deep learning techniques such as healthcare-specific named entity recognition models, word embeddings, and entity resolution models can extract clinical data from text. The improved methods of collecting high-quality data and advancements in the machine (deep) learning models fueled a new wave of healthcare practices. An EHR essentially stores patient records in unstructured and structured formats. To create a more intelligent healthcare system in which the best treatment decisions are computationally learned from electronic health record data by deep-learning methodologies. International technology giants like Google, Microsoft, IBM, and Amazon are all keen on developing MT. Since Google launched the NMT system in 2016, the improvement of the quality of MT has achieved more attention and interest from all other NLP tasks.

The transformer is a new type of neural network model that emerged in 2017 based on self-attention. The transformer model replaced previously dominated RNN (its variations are LSTM/GRU) and become a state-of-the model in MT and many other NLP tasks. Compared to RNN, transformers have much higher computational efficiency and can efficiently exploit the modern parallel hardware (GPU/TPU). It allows training on much larger models on a massive amount of data. The transformer framework overcomes the bottleneck in which the recurrent neural network model cannot be calculated in parallel. Many NMT engines produce sporadic errors while training the system. The popular approach in deep learning is pre-trained models which have been previously trained on large datasets. A pre-trained transformer model by fine-tuning can further improve performance, requiring fewer data and computational resources. It might help in the medical translation in low-resource languages.

The highly lexicalized nature of languages causes sensitivity of domain shift in the NMT system. One solution is lexicon induction to obtain an in-domain lexicon and construct a pseudo-parallel in-domain corpus. The in-domain monolingual target corpus use word-by-word back translation for constructing the synthetic parallel in-domain corpus (Edunov et al. 2018; Hu et al. 2019). Furthermore, applying a pseudo-in-domain corpus with fine-tuning, a pre-trained out-of-domain NMT model called the unsupervised adaptation method is another explanation. Domain adaptation in MT can be applied when a large amount of out-of-domain data co-occur with a small amount of in-domain data (Soares and Becker 2018). A domain adaptation experiment containing a medical domain with the lexicon inclusion performs an acceptable accuracy in low resource language NMT. NMT with automated customization using domain-specific corpora say the medical domain is known as domain adaptation in MT (Arcan and Buitelaar 2017).

Intento¹⁰ evaluated six domain adaptive NMT systems for English-to-German translation using biomedical corpora¹¹ of several sizes (from 10K to 1M segments) and evaluated them compared to stock MT engines. It was a breakthrough moment in MT, probably the biggest one since the invention of NMT.

A word in a sentence is often related to multiple domains that indicate its domain preference. The word in a distributed representation possesses embedding by mixing domain proportions from different domains. In a transformer architecture for different domains, carefully designing dot-product multi-head attention modules can achieve effective domain knowledge sharing in multi-domain NMT (Zeng et al. 2018). A technique most commonly used in NMT, especially in a low resource language, is transfer learning, which falls under domain adaptation. Transfer learning is the process where a child model in one language pair (in-domain data) is trained by transferring the knowledge learned from an existing parent model in another language pair (out-of-domain data). By initializing the parameters in NMT from the previous model, an increase in training accuracy on out-of-domain and multiple indomain datasets has been achieved for biomedical corpora (Khan et al. 2018; Peng et al. 2019).

Advanced models are used to predict hospital and professional billing codes for administrative cost reduction and billing process improvements using deep learning techniques (Joo et al. 2021). The rare words can not be translated correctly by a conventional NMT system. These are called out-of-vocabulary (OOV). NMT replaces these OOV as <unk> tokens that do not have any information. <unk> (unknown words) are unique words that cannot be translated into the target token during generation. So the possibility of a loss of translated information. A lexicon of biomedical vocabulary, MedDRA (Bo et al. 2007), is used for semantic disambiguation model to solve <unk> problem (Liu et al. 2020).

The roadblocks to bringing medicine into the data-driven period are cultural and operational. It is time to safely bring huge medical data repositories and advanced learning algorithms together with physicians to make a deep-learning healthcare system. Deep learning, the newest iteration of machine learning methodologies, is now performing at state-of-the-art levels in previously difficult tasks such as language processing, information retrieval, and forecasting. India is home to many native languages that become linguistic diversity in a multilingual country with a growing population. Multilingual challenge with multilingual technology. A study from Google (Johnson et al. 2017) showed that using multilingual data when training NMT systems can improve translation performance, especially when using a many-to-one scheme.

The Covid-19 pandemic has shown the need for multilingual access to hygiene and safety guidelines and policies (Zeng-Treitler et al. 2010). A Multilingual Neural Machine Translation (MNMT) can be employed to translate biomedical text (O'Brien and Federici 2019). A large number of domain tags from generic and biomedical data use to train the MNMT system (Bérard et al. 2020).

1.7 CONCLUSION

Medical translation is a crucial factor in disseminating new knowledge and discoveries in the medical field. Still, it can also be a critical factor in the provision of global and foreign health services.

It cannot deny the need for machine-translated content in healthcare. Its credibility and increased use of social media during Covid-19 pandemic can only be expedited with more robust training data for learning the model (O'Neill 1998).

The traditional approaches for translating text are time-consuming and require a series of labor-intensive levels. Because of the inefficiency and expense of conventional translation, companies and agencies are looking for faster, cost-efficient, and better performance in terms of accuracy. The neural MT with transformer

model has been using a state-of-the-art method and architecture for MT. Language technologies have been steadily advancing to deliver high-quality translated documents that can be used for official purposes.

Covid-19 is posed the history's biggest translation challenge because it not only involved translating one or a small number of primary languages in a single region but also on a scale of thousands of languages across the world. Several crowd-sourced translation projects spread the knowledge to fight Covid-19 to healthcare workers worldwide¹².

In medicine, there is no room for mistakes and errors, which is a challenge for translators. Different language technologies and advanced methods can overcome that. In the not-too-distant future, MT will be capable of translating a text in the biomedical domain at the required quality. It will improve patient monitoring, which will improve patient outcomes. Now, the machine is acting as the rescuer in medicine in day-to-day life.

NOTES

- 1 https://censusindia.gov.in/2011Census/C-16_25062018_NEW.pdf
- 2 https://www.integratedlanguages.com/
- 3 https://translation.agency/
- 4 https://pecattestation.com/
- 5 http://www.shaktienterprise.com/
- 6 http://www.somyatrans.com/
- 7 https://linguainfo.com/
- 8 https://www.tridindia.com/
- 9 http://www.honeytranslations.com/
- 10 https://inten.to
- 11 https://ufal.mff.cuni.cz/ufal_medical_corpus
- 12 https://covidtranslate.org

REFERENCES

- Anastasopoulos, A., L. Besacier, J. Cross, M. Gallé, P. Koehn, and V. Nikoulina. 2021. On the evaluation of machine translation for terminology consistency. arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.11891
- Arcan, M., and P. Buitelaar. 2017. Translating domain-specific expressions in knowledge bases with neural machine translation. CoRR. arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.02184
- Bahdanau, D., K. Cho, and Y. Bengio. 2014. Neural machine translation by jointly learning to align and translate. arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.0473
- Bérard, A., Z. M. Kim, V. Nikoulina, E. L. Park, and M. Gallé. 2020, December. A multilingual neural machine translation model for biomedical data. In Proceedings of the 1st Workshop on NLP for Covid-19 (Part 2) at EMNLP 2020.
- Bo, Q. Y., N. N. Xiong, J. D. Zou, M. Jiang, F. Liu, and Z. W. Anna. 2007. Internationally agreed medical terminology: Medical dictionary for regulatory activities. *Chinese Journal of Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, 12, no. 5: 586.

Chabner, D. E. 2020. The Language of Medicine E-Book. Elsevier Health Sciences.

- Dwivedi, S. K., and P. P. Sukhadeve. 2013, August. Comparative structure of Homoeopathy language with other medical languages in machine translation system. In 2013 International Conference on Advances in Computing, Communications and Informatics (ICACCI) (pp. 775–778). IEEE.
- DžUGANOVá, B. 2013. English medical terminology-different ways of forming medical terms. *Jahr: Europski časopis za bioetiku*, 4, no. 1: 55–69.
- Edunov, S., M. Ott, M. Auli, and D. Grangier. 2018. Understanding back-translation at scale. In Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (pp. 489–500).
- Fischbach, H. (Ed.). 1998. Translation and Medicine. John Benjamins Publishing.
- Hu, J., M. Xia, G. Neubig, and J. G. Carbonell. 2019, July. Domain adaptation of neural machine translation by Lexicon induction. In Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (pp. 2989–3001).
- Johnson, M., M. Schuster, Q. Le, M. Krikun, Y. Wu, Z. Chen, ... and J. Dean. 2017. Google's multilingual neural machine translation system: Enabling zero-shot translation. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 5: 339–351.
- Joo, H., M. Burns, S. S. K. Lakshmanan, Y. Hu, and V. V. Vydiswaran. 2021. Neural machine translation-based automated current procedural terminology classification system using procedure text: Development and validation study. *JMIR Formative Research*, 5, no. 5: e22461.
- Karwacka, W. 2015. Medical translation. Ways to Translation, 271-298.
- Khan, A., S. Panda, J. Xu, and L. Flokas. 2018, October. Hunter nmt system for wmt18 biomedical translation task: Transfer learning in neural machine translation. In Proceedings of the Third Conference on Machine Translation: Shared Task Papers (pp. 655–661).
- Lakew, S. M., M. Cettolo, and M. Federico. 2018, August. A comparison of transformer and recurrent neural networks on multilingual neural machine translation. In Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Computational Linguistics (pp. 641–652).
- Lewis, W. 2010, May. Haitian Creole: How to build and ship an MT engine from scratch in 4 days, 17 hours, & 30 minutes. In 14th Annual conference of the European Association for Machine Translation.
- Limsopatham, N., and N. Collier. 2015, September. Adapting phrase-based machine translation to normalise medical terms in social media messages. In Proceedings of the 2015 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (pp. 1675–1680).
- Liu, W., and S. Cai. 2015, July. Translating electronic health record notes from English to Spanish: A preliminary study. In Proceedings of BioNLP 15 (pp. 134–140).
- Liu, H., Y. Liang, L. Wang, X. Feng, and R. Guan. 2020. BioNMT: A Biomedical neural machine translation system. *International Journal of Computers, Communications & Control*, 15, no. 6.
- Musleh, A., N. Durrani, I. Temnikova, P. Nakov, S. Vogel, and O. Alsaad. 2016, April. Enabling medical translation for low-resource languages. In International Conference on Intelligent Text Processing and Computational Linguistics (pp. 3–16). Springer, Cham.
- O'Brien, S., and F. M. Federici. 2019. Crisis translation: Considering language needs in multilingual disaster settings. *Disaster Prevention and Management: An International Journal.*
- O'Neill, M. 1998. Who makes a better medical translator: The medically knowledgeable linguist or the linguistically knowledgeable medical professional? A physician's perspective. *Translation and Medicine*, 194.
- Papineni, K., S. Roukos, T. Ward, and W. J. Zhu. 2002, July. Bleu: A method for automatic evaluation of machine translation. In Proceedings of the 40th annual meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (pp. 311–318).

- Patil, S., and P. Davies. 2014. Use of google translate in medical communication: Evaluation of accuracy. BMJ (Clinical Research ed.), 349: g7392
- Peng, W., J. Liu, L. Li, and Q. Liu. 2019, August. Huawei's NMT systems for the WMT 2019 biomedical translation task. In Proceedings of the Fourth Conference on Machine Translation (Volume 3: Shared Task Papers, Day 2) (pp. 164–168).
- Rogl, R. 2017. Language-related disaster relief in Haiti. Non-Professional Interpreting and Translation: State of the Art and Future of an Emerging Field of Research, 231–255.
- Ruiz Costa-Jussà, M., M. Farrús Cabeceran, J. B. Mariño Acebal, and J. A. Rodríguez Fonol-Losa. 2011. Automatic and human evaluation study of a rule-based and a statistical Catalan-Spanish machine translation systems. In Seventh Conference on International Language Resources and Evaluation (pp. 1707–1711).
- Skianis, K., Y. Briand, and F. Desgrippes. 2020, November. Evaluation of machine translation methods applied to medical terminologies. In Proceedings of the 11th International Workshop on Health Text Mining and Information Analysis (pp. 59–69).
- Soares, F., and K. Becker. 2018, October. UFRGS participation on the WMT biomedical translation shared task. In Proceedings of the Third Conference on Machine Translation: Shared Task Papers (pp. 662–666).
- Taylor, R. M., N. Crichton, B. Moult, and F. Gibson. 2015. A prospective observational study of machine translation software to overcome the challenge of including ethnic diversity in healthcare research. *Nursing Open*, 2, no. 1: 14–23.
- Vaswani, A., N. Shazeer, N. Parmar, J. Uszkoreit, L. Jones, and A. N. Gomez. 2017. Ukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 5998–6008.
- Wang, Q., B. Li, T. Xiao, J. Zhu, C. Li, D. F. Wong, and L. S. Chao. 2019, July. Learning deep transformer models for machine translation. In Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (pp. 1810–1822).
- Wołk, K., and K. Marasek. 2015. Neural-based machine translation for medical text domain. Based on European Medicines Agency leaflet texts. *Procedia Computer Science*, 64: 2–9.
- Wolk, K., and K. P. Marasek. 2020. Translation of medical texts using neural networks. In Deep Learning and Neural Networks: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1137–1154). IGI Global.
- Wu, Y., M. Schuster, Z. Chen, Q. V. Le, M. Norouzi, W. Macherey, ... and J. Dean. 2016. Google's neural machine translation system: Bridging the gap between human and machine translation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.08144
- Wu, C., F. Xia, L. Deleger, and I. Solti. 2011. Statistical machine translation for biomedical text: Are we there yet?. In AMIA Annual Symposium Proceedings (Vol. 2011, p. 1290). American Medical Informatics Association.
- Zeng, J., J. Su, H. Wen, Y. Liu, J. Xie, Yin, Y., and Zhao, J. 2018. Multi-domain neural machine translation with word-level domain context discrimination. In Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (pp. 447–457).
- Zeng-Treitler, Q., H. Kim, G. Rosemblat, and A. Keselman. 2010. Can multilingual machine translation help make medical record content more comprehensible to patients?. In *MEDINFO 2010* (pp. 73–77). IOS Press.

A Clinical Practice by Machine Translation on Low Resource Languages

Anastasopoulos, A., L. Besacier, J. Cross, M. Gallé, P. Koehn, and V. Nikoulina. 2021. On the evaluation of machine translation for terminology consistency. arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.11891

Arcan, M. , and P. Buitelaar . 2017. Translating domain-specific expressions in knowledge bases with neural machine translation. CoRR. arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.02184

Bahdanau, D., K. Cho, and Y. Bengio . 2014. Neural machine translation by jointly learning to align and translate. arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.0473

Bérard, A. , Z. M. Kim , V. Nikoulina , E. L. Park , and M. Gallé . 2020, December. A multilingual neural machine translation model for biomedical data. In Proceedings of the 1st Workshop on NLP for Covid-19 (Part 2) at EMNLP 2020.

Bo, Q. Y., N. N. Xiong , J. D. Zou , M. Jiang , F. Liu , and Z. W. Anna . 2007. Internationally agreed medical terminology: Medical dictionary for regulatory activities. Chinese Journal of Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 12, no. 5: 586.

Chabner, D. E. 2020. The Language of Medicine E-Book. Elsevier Health Sciences.

Cho, K., B. van Merriënboer, C. Gulcehre, D. Bahdanau, F. Bougares, H. Schwenk, and Y. Bengio. 2014, October. Learning phrase representations using RNN encoder–decoder for statistical machine translation. In Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP) (pp. 1724–1734).

Dwivedi, S. K., and P. P. Sukhadeve . 2013, August. Comparative structure of Homoeopa- thy language with other medical languages in machine translation system. In 2013 International Conference on Advances in Computing, Communications and Informatics (ICACCI) (pp. 775–778). IEEE.

DžUGANOVá, B. 2013. English medical terminology–different ways of forming medical terms. Jahr: Europski časopis za bioetiku, 4, no. 1: 55–69.

Edunov, S., M. Ott, M. Auli, and D. Grangier. 2018. Understanding back-translation at scale. In Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (pp. 489–500).

Fischbach, H. (Ed.). 1998. Translation and Medicine. John Benjamins Publishing. Hu, J., M. Xia, G. Neubig, and J. G. Carbonell . 2019, July. Domain adaptation of neural machine translation by Lexicon induction. In Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (pp. 2989–3001).

Johnson, M., M. Schuster, Q. Le, M. Krikun, Y. Wu, Z. Chen, ... and J. Dean . 2017. Google's multilingual neural machine translation system: Enabling zero-shot translation. Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics, 5: 339–351.

Joo, H. , M. Burns , S. S. K. Lakshmanan , Y. Hu , and V. V. Vydiswaran . 2021. Neural machine translation–based automated current procedural terminology classification system using procedure text: Development and validation study. JMIR Formative Research, 5, no. 5: e22461.

Karwacka, W. 2015. Medical translation. Ways to Translation, 271–298.

Khan, A., S. Panda , J. Xu , and L. Flokas . 2018, October. Hunter nmt system for wmt18 biomedical translation task: Transfer learning in neural machine translation. In Proceedings of the Third Conference on Machine Translation: Shared Task Papers (pp. 655–661).

Lakew, S. M., M. Cettolo, and M. Federico. 2018, August. A comparison of transformer and recurrent neural networks on multilingual neural machine translation. In Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Computational Linguistics (pp. 641–652).

Lewis, W. 2010, May. Haitian Creole: How to build and ship an MT engine from scratch in 4 days, 17 hours, & 30 minutes. In 14th Annual conference of the European Association for Machine Translation.

Limsopatham, N. , and N. Collier . 2015, September. Adapting phrase-based machine translation to normalise medical terms in social media messages. In Proceedings of the 2015 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (pp. 1675–1680).

Liu, W. , and S. Cai . 2015, July. Translating electronic health record notes from English to Spanish: A preliminary study. In Proceedings of BioNLP 15 (pp. 134–140).

Liu, H., Y. Liang , L. Wang , X. Feng , and R. Guan . 2020. BioNMT: A Biomedical neural machine translation system. International Journal of Computers, Communications & Control, 15, no. 6.

Musleh, A., N. Durrani, I. Temnikova, P. Nakov, S. Vogel, and O. Alsaad. 2016, April. Enabling medical translation for low-resource languages. In International Conference on Intelligent Text Processing and Computational Linguistics (pp. 3–16). Springer, Cham.

O'Brien, S., and F. M. Federici . 2019. Crisis translation: Considering language needs in multilingual disaster settings. Disaster Prevention and Management: An International Journal. O'Neill, M. 1998. Who makes a better medical translator: The medically knowledgeable linguist or the linguistically knowledgeable medical professional? A physician's perspective. Translation and Medicine, 194.

Papineni, K., S. Roukos, T. Ward, and W. J. Zhu. 2002, July. Bleu: A method for automatic evaluation of machine translation. In Proceedings of the 40th annual meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (pp. 311–318).

Patil, S. , and P. Davies . 2014. Use of google translate in medical communication: Evaluation of accuracy. BMJ (Clinical Research ed.), 349: g7392

Peng, W., J. Liu, L. Li, and Q. Liu. 2019, August. Huawei's NMT systems for the WMT 2019 biomedical translation task. In Proceedings of the Fourth Conference on Machine Translation (Volume 3: Shared Task Papers, Day 2) (pp. 164–168).

Rogl, R. 2017. Language-related disaster relief in Haiti. Non-Professional Interpreting and Translation: State of the Art and Future of an Emerging Field of Research, 231–255.

Ruiz Costa-Jussà, M., M. Farrús Cabeceran, J. B. Mariño Acebal, and J. A. Rodríguez Fonol-Losa. 2011. Automatic and human evaluation study of a rule-based and a statistical Catalan-Spanish machine translation systems. In Seventh Conference on International Language Resources and Evaluation (pp. 1707–1711).

Skianis, K., Y. Briand , and F. Desgrippes . 2020, November. Evaluation of machine translation methods applied to medical terminologies. In Proceedings of the 11th International Workshop on Health Text Mining and Information Analysis (pp. 59–69).

Soares, F., and K. Becker . 2018, October. UFRGS participation on the WMT biomedical translation shared task. In Proceedings of the Third Conference on Machine Translation: Shared Task Papers (pp. 662–666).

Taylor, R. M., N. Crichton, B. Moult, and F. Gibson. 2015. A prospective observational study of machine translation software to overcome the challenge of including ethnic diversity in healthcare research. Nursing Open, 2, no. 1: 14–23.

Vaswani, A., N. Shazeer, N. Parmar, J. Uszkoreit, L. Jones, and A. N. Gomez. 2017. Ukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 5998–6008.

Wang, Q., B. Li, T. Xiao, J. Zhu, C. Li, D. F. Wong, and L. S. Chao. 2019, July. Learning deep transformer models for machine translation. In Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (pp. 1810–1822).

Wołk, K., and K. Marasek . 2015. Neural-based machine translation for medical text do- main. Based on European Medicines Agency leaflet texts. Procedia Computer Science, 64: 2–9. Wolk, K., and K. P. Marasek . 2020. Translation of medical texts using neural networks. In Deep Learning and Neural Networks: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1137–1154). IGI Global.

Wu, Y., M. Schuster, Z. Chen, Q. V. Le, M. Norouzi, W. Macherey, ... and J. Dean. 2016. Google's neural machine translation system: Bridging the gap between human and machine translation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.08144

Wu, C., F. Xia, L. Deleger, and I. Solti. 2011. Statistical machine translation for biomedical text: Are we there yet?. In AMIA Annual Symposium Proceedings (Vol. 2011, p. 1290). American Medical Informatics Association.

Zeng, J., J. Su, H. Wen, Y. Liu, J. Xie, Yin, Y., and Zhao, J. 2018. Multi-domain neural machine translation with word-level domain context discrimination. In Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (pp. 447–457).

Zeng-Treitler, Q., H. Kim, G. Rosemblat, and A. Keselman. 2010. Can multilingual machine translation help make medical record content more comprehensible to patients?. In MEDINFO 2010 (pp. 73–77). IOS Press.

Feature Analysis and Classification of Impaired Language Caused by Brain Injury

Coelho, C. A., B. Grela, M. Corso, A. Gamble, and R. Feinn. 2005. Microlinguistic deficits in the narrative discourse of adults with traumatic brain injury. In: Brain Injury 19, no. 13: 1139–1145.

Elbourn, E., B. Kenny, E. Power, C. Honan, S. McDonald, R. Tate, A. Holland, B. MacWhinney, and L. Togher. 2018. Discourse recovery after severe traumatic brain injury: Exploring the first year. In: Brain Injury 33, no. 2: 143–159. doi: 10.1080/02699052.2018.1539246

Fraser, K., J. A. Meltzer, N. L. Graham, C. Leonard, G. Hirst, S. E. Black, and E. Rochon. 2013. Automated classification of primary progressive aphasia subtypes from narrative speech transcripts. In: Cortex 55, pp. 43–60. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2012.12.006

Fraser, K. C., G. Hirst, N. L. Graham, J. A. Meltzer, S. Black, and E. Rochon. 2014a. Comparison of different feature sets for identification of variants in progressive aphasia. In: Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology: From Linguistic Signal to Clinical Reality, pp. 17–26. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2012.12.006

Fraser, K. C., G. Hirst, J. A. Meltzer, J. E. Mack, and C. K. Thompson. 2014b. Using statistical parsing to detect agrammatic aphasia. In: *Proceedings of the 2014 Workshop on Biomedical Natural Language Processing (BioNLP 2014)*, pp. 134–142.

Gabani, K., M. Sherman, T. Solorio, Y. Liu, L. M. Bedore, and E. D. Penã. 2009. A Corpusbased approach for the prediction of language impairment in monolingual English and Spanish-English bilingual children. Human language technologies. In: Proceedings of human language technologies: the 2009 annual conference of the North American chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics (pp. 46–55).

MacWhinney, B. 2000. The CHILDES Project, Tools for Analyzing Talk. 3rd ed. Mahwah, NJ.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

MacWhinney, B. 2020. Tools for Analyzing Talk – Electronic Edition Part 2: The CLAN Programs. Pensilvania: Carnegie Mellon University. doi: 10.21415/T5G10R

Oliva, J., J. I. Serrano, M. D. del Castillo, and A. Iglesias. 2014. A methodology for the characterization and diagnosis of cognitive impairment – Application to specific language impairment. In: Artificial Intelligence in Medicine 61: 89–96.

Pakhomov, S. V. S., G. E. Smith, S. Marino, A. Birnbaum, N. Graff-Radford, R. Caselli, B. Boeve, and D. S. Knopman. 2010. A computerized technique to assess language use patterns in patients with frontotemporal dementia. In: Neurolinguistics March 1; 23, no. 2: 127–144. doi: 10.1016/j.jneuroling.2009.12.001

Peintner, B., W. Jarrold, D. Vergyri, C. Richey, M. A. Gorno-Tempini, and J. Ogar. 2008 Learning diagnostic models using speech and language measures. In: *30th Annual International IEEE EMBS Conference Vancouver*.

Power, E., S. Weir, J. Richardson, D. Fromm, M. Forbes, B. MacWhinney, and L. Togher. 2020. Patterns of narrative discourse in early recovery following severe traumatic brain Injury. In: Brain Injury 34, no. 1: 98–109.

Rentoumi, V., G. Paliouras, D. Arfani, K. Fragkopoulou, S. Varlokosta, E. Danasi, and S. Papadatos. 2017. Automatic detection of linguistic indicators as a means of early detection of Alzheimer's disease and of related dementias: A computational linguistics analysis. In: CogInfoCom, pp. 11–14.

Scarborough, H. S. 1990. Index of productive syntax. In: Applied Psycholinguistics 11, no. 1: 1–22.

Solorio, T. and Y. Liu . 2008. Using Language Models to Identify Language Impairment in Spanish-English Bilingual Children. In: BioNLP 2008: Current Trends in Biomedical Natural Language Processing pp. 116–117.

Steel, J. and L. Togher . 2018. Social communication assessment after TBI: A narrative review of innovations in pragmatic and discourse assessment methods. In: Brain Injury 33. no. 1: 48–60. doi:10.1080/02699052.2018.1531304

Stubbs, E., L. Togher, B. Kenny, D. Fromm, M. B. F. Margaret, S. McDonald, R. Tate, L. Turkstra, and E. Power. 2018. Procedural discourse performance in adults with severe traumatic brain injury at 3 and 6 months post injury. In: Brain Injury 32, no. 2: 167–181. doi: 10.1080/02699052.2017.1291989

TalkBank . 2021. TalkBank. https://www.talkbank.org/

TBIBank . 2021. TBIBank. https://tbi.talkbank.org/. Accessed: March 3rd, 2021.

Thompson, C.K. 2013. Northwestern narrative language analysis (NNLA) theory and methodology. Evanston, IL: Aphasia and Neurolinguistics Research Laboratory Northwestern University.

Young, E. 2017. Discourse Changes Following Severe Traumatic Brain Injury: A Longitudinal Study. Electronic Theses and Dissertations Fall 11–13–2017. Available from: https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/shs_etds/19

Natural Language Processing for Mental Disorders: An Overview

Alamri, M., and W. J. Teahan . 2017. A new error annotation for dyslexic texts in Arabic. In Proceedings of the Third Arabic Natural Language Processing Workshop, pages 72–78, Valencia, Spain: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Amblard, M., C. Braud, C. Li, C. Demily, N. Franck, and M. Musiol. 2020. Investigation par méthodes d'apprentissage des spécificités langagières propres aux personnes avec schizophrénie (investigating learning methods applied to language specificity of persons with schizophrenia). In Actes de la 6e conférence conjointe Journées d'Études sur la Parole (JEP, 33e édition), Traitement Automatique des Langues Naturelles (TALN, 27e édition), Rencontre des Étudiants Chercheurs en Informatique pour le Traitement Automatique des Langues (RÉCITAL, 22e édition). Volume 2: Traitement Automatique des Langues Naturelles, pages 12–26, Nancy, France: ATALA et AFCP.

American Psychiatric Association . 2013. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (5th ed.). Arlington, VA.

Amir, S. , M. Dredze , and J. W. Ayers . 2019. Mental health surveillance over social media with digital cohorts. In Proceedings of the Sixth Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology, pages 114–120, Minneapolis, Minnesota: Association for Computational Linguistics.

An, M., J. Wang, S. Li, and G. Zhou. 2020. Multimodal topic-enriched auxiliary learning for depression detection. In Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics, pages 1078–1089, Barcelona, Spain (Online): International Committee on Computational Linguistics.

Anani, M., N. Kazi, M. Kuntz, and I. Kahanda . 2019. RDoC task at BioNLP-OST 2019. In Proceedings of The 5th Workshop on BioNLP Open Shared Tasks, pages 216–226, Hong Kong, China: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Balk, E. M., M. Chung, M. L. Chen, L. K. W. Chang, and T. A. Trikalinos . 2013. Data extraction from machine-translated versus original language randomized trial reports: A comparative study. Systematic Reviews, 2, no. 1: 97.

Bar, K., V. Zilberstein, I. Ziv, H. Baram, N. Dershowitz, S. Itzikowitz, and E. Vadim Harel. 2019. Semantic characteristics of schizophrenic speech. In Proceedings of the Sixth Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology, pages 84–93, Minneapolis, Minnesota: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Barbu, E., M. T. Martín-Valdivia , and L. A. Ureña-López . 2013. Open book: A tool for helping ASD users' semantic comprehension. In Proceedings of the Workshop on Natural Language Processing for Improving Textual Accessibility, pages 11–19, Atlanta, Georgia: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Bleiweiss, A. 2020. Neural transduction of letter position dyslexia using an anagram matrix representation. In Proceedings of the 19th SIGBioMed Workshop on Biomedical Language Processing, pages 150–155, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Borsboom, D. , A. O. Cramer , and A. Kalis . 2019. Brain disorders? not really: Why network structures block reductionism in psychopathology research. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 42: e2.

Boytcheva, S., I. Nikolova, G. Angelova, and Z. Angelov. 2017. Identification of risk factors in clinical texts through association rules. In Proceedings of the Biomedical NLP Workshop associated with RANLP 2017, pages 64–72, Varna, Bulgaria: INCOMA Ltd.

Bzdok, D. , and A. Meyer-Lindenberg . 2018. Machine learning for precision psychiatry: Opportunities and challenges. Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging, 3, no. 3: 223–230.

Canales, L., C. Strapparava, E. Boldrini, and P. Martínez-Barco. 2016. Innovative semiautomatic methodology to annotate emotional corpora. In Proceedings of the Workshop on Computational Modeling of People's Opinions, Personality, and Emotions in Social Media (PEOPLES), pages 91–100, Osaka, Japan: The COLING 2016 Organizing Committee. Chaturvedi, J., N. Viani, J. Sanyal, C. Tytherleigh, I. Hasan, K. Baird, S. Velupillai, R. Stewart, and A. Roberts. 2020. Development of a corpus annotated with medications and their attributes in psychiatric health records. In Proceedings of the 12th Language Resources and Evaluation Conference, pages 2009–2016, Marseille, France: European Language Resources Association.

Cohan, A., B. Desmet, A. Yates, L. Soldaini, S. MacAvaney, and N. Goharian. 2018. SMHD: a large-scale resource for exploring online language usage for multiple mental health conditions. In Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Computational Linguistics, pages 1485–1497, Santa Fe, New Mexico, USA: Association for Computational Linguistics. Conneau, A., K. Khandelwal, N. Goyal, V. Chaudhary, G. Wenzek, F. Guzmán, E. Grave, M. Ott, L. Zettlemoyer, and V. Stoyanov. 2020. Unsupervised cross-lingual representation learning at scale. In Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pages 8440–8451, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics. Coppersmith, G., M. Dredze, and C. Harman. 2014. Quantifying mental health signals in Twitter. In Proceedings of the Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology: From Linguistic Signal to Clinical Reality, pages 51–60, Baltimore, Maryland, USA: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Delahunty, F., R. Johansson, and M. Arcan. 2019. Passive diagnosis incorporating the PHQ-4 for depression and anxiety. In Proceedings of the Fourth Social Media Mining for Health Applications (#SMM4H) Workshop & Shared Task, pages 40–46, Florence, Italy: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Devlin, J., M.-W. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova. 2019. BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers), pages 4171–4186, Minneapolis, Minnesota: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Ellendorff, T., S. Foster, and F. Rinaldi. 2016. The PsyMine corpus - a corpus annotated with psychiatric disorders and their etiological factors. In Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC'16), pages 3723–3729, Portorož, Slovenia: European Language Resources Association (ELRA).

Evans, R., C. Orăsan, and I. Dornescu. 2014. An evaluation of syntactic simplification rules for people with autism. In Proceedings of the 3rd Workshop on Predicting and Improving Text Readability for Target Reader Populations (PITR), pages 131–140, Gothenburg, Sweden: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Fadhil, A., and A. AbuRa'ed . 2019. OlloBot - towards a text-based Arabic health conversational agent: Evaluation and results. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Recent Advances in Natural Language Processing (RANLP 2019), pages 295–303, Varna, Bulgaria: INCOMA Ltd.

Friðriksdóttir, S. R., and A. K. Ingason . 2020. Disambiguating confusion sets as an aid for dyslexic spelling. In Proceedings of the 1st Workshop on Tools and Resources to Empower People with REAding DIfficulties (READI), pages 1–5, Marseille, France: European Language Resources Association.

Gala, N., and J. Ziegler . 2016. Reducing lexical complexity as a tool to increase text accessibility for children with dyslexia. In Proceedings of the Workshop on Computational Linguistics for Linguistic Complexity (CL4LC), pages 59–66, Osaka, Japan: The COLING 2016 Organizing Committee.

Ghosh, S., A. Ekbal, and P. Bhattacharyya. 2020. CEASE, a corpus of emotion annotated suicide notes in English. In Proceedings of the 12th Language Resources and Evaluation Conference, pages 1618–1626, Marseille, France: European Language Resources Association.

Gildea, D., M.-Y. Kan, N. Madnani, C. Teichmann, and M. Villalba. 2018. The ACL Anthology: Current state and future directions. In Proceedings of Workshop for NLP Open Source Software (NLP-OSS), pages 23–28, Melbourne, Australia: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Gkotsis, G., A. Oellrich, T. Hubbard, R. Dobson, M. Liakata, S. Velupillai, and R. Dutta. 2016a. The language of mental health problems in social media. In Proceedings of the Third Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology, pages 63–73, San Diego, CA, USA: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Gkotsis, G., S. Velupillai, A. Oellrich, H. Dean, M. Liakata, and R. Dutta. 2016b. Don't let notes be misunderstood: A negation detection method for assessing risk of suicide in mental health records. In Proceedings of the Third Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology, pages 95–105, San Diego, CA, USA: Association for Computational Linguistics. Gorrell, G., A. Roberts, R. Jackson, and R. Stewart . 2013. Finding negative symptoms of schizophrenia in patient records. In Proceedings of the Workshop on NLP for Medicine and Biology associated with RANLP 2013, pages 9–17, Hissar, Bulgaria: INCOMA Ltd.

Graham, S., C. Depp, E. E. Lee, C. Nebeker, X. Tu, H.-C. Kim, and D. V. Jeste . 2019. Artificial intelligence for mental health and mental illnesses: An overview. Current Psychiatry Reports, 21, no. 11: 116.

Gratch, J., R. Artstein, G. Lucas, G. Stratou, S. Scherer, A. Nazarian, R. Wood, J. Boberg, D. DeVault, S. Marsella, D. Traum, S. Rizzo, and L.-P. Morency. 2014. The distress analysis interview corpus of human and computer interviews. In Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC'14), pages 3123–3128, Reykjavik, Iceland: European Language Resources Association (ELRA).

Gutiérrez, E. D., G. Cecchi, C. Corcoran, and P. Corlett. 2017. Using automated metaphor identification to aid in detection and prediction of first-episode schizophrenia. In Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 2923–2930, Copenhagen, Denmark: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Hauser, M., E. Sariyanidi, B. Tunc, C. Zampella, E. Brodkin, R. Schultz, and J. Parish-Morris. 2019. Using natural conversations to classify autism with limited data: Age matters. In Proceedings of the Sixth Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology, pages 45–54, Minneapolis, Minnesota: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Heeman, P., R. Lunsford, E. Selfridge, L. Black, and J. van Santen. 2010. Autism and interactional aspects of dialogue. In Proceedings of the SIGDIAL 2010 Conference, pages 249–252, Tokyo, Japan: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Holmqvist, M. 2013. Psychological Disorder, pages 1554–1556. New York: Springer New York. Howes, C., M. Purver, R. McCabe, P. G. T. Healey, and M. Lavelle . 2012. Predicting adherence to treatment for schizophrenia from dialogue transcripts. In Proceedings of the 13th Annual Meeting of the Special Interest Group on Discourse and Dialogue, pages 79–83, Seoul, South Korea: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Husseini Orabi, A., P. Buddhitha, M. Husseini Orabi, and D. Inkpen. 2018. Deep learning for depression detection of Twitter users. In Proceedings of the Fifth Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology: From Keyboard to Clinic, pages 88–97, New Orleans, LA: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Ireland, M., and M. Iserman . 2018. Within and between-person differences in language used across anxiety support and neutral Reddit communities. In Proceedings of the Fifth Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology: From Keyboard to Clinic, pages 182–193, New Orleans, LA: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Jamil, Z., D. Inkpen, P. Buddhitha, and K. White . 2017. Monitoring tweets for depression to detect at-risk users. In Proceedings of the Fourth Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology — From Linguistic Signal to Clinical Reality, pages 32–40, Vancouver, BC: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Ji, Y., H. Hong, R. Arriaga, A. Rozga, G. Abowd, and J. Eisenstein. 2014. Mining themes and interests in the asperger's and autism community. In Proceedings of the Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology: From Linguistic Signal to Clinical Reality, pages 97–106, Baltimore, Maryland, USA: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Jurafsky, D. , and C. Manning . 2012. Natural language processing. Instructor, 212, no. 998: 3482.

Just, S. , E. Haegert , N. Kořánová , A.-L. Bröcker , I. Nenchev , J. Funcke , C. Montag , and M. Stede . 2019. Coherence models in schizophrenia. In Proceedings of the Sixth Workshop on

Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology, pages 126–136, Minneapolis, Minnesota: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Kisely, S. 2020. Unravelling the complexities of inequalities in mental healthcare and outcomes for cultural and linguistic minorities. BJPsych International, 17, no. 2: 34–37.

Lala, D., P. Milhorat, K. Inoue, M. Ishida, K. Takanashi, and T. Kawahara. 2017. Attentive listening system with backchanneling, response generation and flexible turn- taking. In Proceedings of the 18th Annual SIGdial Meeting on Discourse and Dialogue, pages 127–136, Saarbrücken, Germany: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Lamers, S. M., K. P. Truong, B. Steunenberg, F. de Jong, and G. J. Westerhof. 2014. Applying prosodic speech features in mental health care: An exploratory study in a life- review intervention for depression. In Proceedings of the Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology: From Linguistic Signal to Clinical Reality, pages 61–68, Baltimore, Maryland, USA: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Lee, J., T. Cai, W. Xie, and L. Xing. 2020. A counselling corpus in Cantonese. In Proceedings of the 1st Joint Workshop on Spoken Language Technologies for Under-resourced languages (SLTU) and Collaboration and Computing for Under-Resourced Languages (CCURL), pages 358–361, Marseille, France: European Language Resources association.

Liu, W. , and S. Cai . 2015. Translating electronic health record notes from English to Spanish: A preliminary study. In Proceedings of BioNLP 15, pages 134–140, Beijing, China: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Loveys, K., P. Crutchley, E. Wyatt, and G. Coppersmith. 2017. Small but mighty: Affective micropatterns for quantifying mental health from social media language. In Proceedings of the Fourth Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology — From Linguistic Signal to Clinical Reality, pages 85–95, Vancouver, BC: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Loveys, K., J. Torrez, A. Fine, G. Moriarty, and G. Coppersmith. 2018. Cross-cultural differences in language markers of depression online. In Proceedings of the Fifth Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology: From Keyboard to Clinic, pages 78–87, New Orleans, LA: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Lynn, V., A. Goodman, K. Niederhoffer, K. Loveys, P. Resnik, and H. A. Schwartz. 2018. CLPsych 2018 shared task: Predicting current and future psychological health from childhood essays. In Proceedings of the Fifth Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology: From Keyboard to Clinic, pages 37–46, New Orleans, LA: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Malin, B. A., K. E. Emam , and C. M. O'Keefe . 2013. Biomedical data privacy: Problems, perspectives, and recent advances. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association. Volume 20, Issue 1, Pages 2–6.

Matero, M., and H. A. Schwartz . 2020. Autoregressive affective language forecasting: A selfsupervised task. In Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics, pages 2913–2923, Barcelona, Spain (Online): International Committee on Computational Linguistics.

Mayhew, S., C.-T. Tsai, and D. Roth. 2017. Cheap translation for cross-lingual named entity recognition. In Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 2536–2545, Copenhagen, Denmark: Association for Computational Linguistics.

McDonald, R., S. Petrov, and K. Hall . 2011. Multi-source transfer of delexicalized dependency parsers. In Proceedings of the 2011 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 62–72, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK: Association for Computational Linguistics. Mianji, F., J. Tomaro, and L. J. Kirmayer . 2020. Linguistic and cultural barriers to access and utilization of mental health care for farsi-speaking newcomers in quebec. International Journal of Migration, Health and Social Care, 16, no. 4: 495–510.

Mikal, J., S. Hurst, and M. Conway. 2017. Investigating patient attitudes towards the use of social media data to augment depression diagnosis and treatment: A qualitative study. In Proceedings of the Fourth Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology — From Linguistic Signal to Clinical Reality, pages 41–47, Vancouver, BC: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Milne, D. N., G. Pink, B. Hachey, and R. A. Calvo. 2016. CLPsych 2016 shared task: Triaging content in online peer-support forums. In Proceedings of the Third Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology, pages 118–127, San Diego, CA, USA: Association for

Computational Linguistics.

Mitchell, M., K. Hollingshead, and G. Coppersmith . 2015. Quantifying the language of schizophrenia in social media. In Proceedings of the 2nd Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology: From Linguistic Signal to Clinical Reality, pages 11–20, Denver, Colorado. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Mittelstadt, B. 2019. Principles alone cannot guarantee ethical ai. Nature Machine Intelligence, 1, no. 11: 501–507.

Mnookin, S. 2016. Out of the shadows: Making mental health a global development priority (English). http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/270131468187759113/Out-of-the-shadows-making-mental-health-a-global-development-priority. Accessed February 3, 2021. Mowery, D. L., A. Park, C. Bryan, and M. Conway. 2016. Towards automatically classifying depressive symptoms from Twitter data for population health. In Proceedings of the Workshop on Computational Modeling of People's Opinions, Personality, and Emotions in Social Media (PEOPLES), pages 182–191, Osaka, Japan: The COLING 2016 Organizing Committee. Névéol, A., H. Dalianis, S. Velupillai, G. Savova, and P. Zweigenbaum. 2018. Clinical natural language processing in languages other than english: opportunities and challenges. Journal of Biomedical Semantics, 9, no. 1: 12.

Parish-Morris, J., E. Sariyanidi, C. Zampella, G. K. Bartley, E. Ferguson, A. A. Pallathra, L. Bateman, S. Plate, M. Cola, J. Pandey, E. S. Brodkin, R. T. Schultz, and B. Tunç. 2018. Oral-motor and lexical diversity during naturalistic conversations in adults with autism spectrum disorder. In Proceedings of the Fifth Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology: From Keyboard to Clinic, pages 147–157, New Orleans, LA: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Park, S., K. Park, J. Ahn, and A. Oh. 2020. Suicidal risk detection for military personnel. In Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP), pages 2523–2531, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Pfeiffer, J., A. Rücklé, C. Poth, A. Kamath, I. Vulić, S. Ruder, K. Cho, and I. Gurevych. 2020. AdapterHub: A framework for adapting transformers. In Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations, pages 46–54, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Phang, J., I. Calixto, P. M. Htut, Y. Pruksachatkun, H. Liu, C. Vania, K. Kann, and S. R. Bowman. 2020. English intermediate-task training improves zero-shot cross-lingual transfer too. In Proceedings of the 1st Conference of the Asia-Pacific Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 10th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing, pages 557–575, Suzhou, China: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Pruksachatkun, Y., J. Phang, H. Liu, P. M. Htut, X. Zhang, R. Y. Pang, C. Vania, K. Kann, and S. R. Bowman 2020. Intermediate-task transfer learning with pretrained language models: When and why does it work? In Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pages 5231–5247, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics. Quiniou, S., and B. Daille. 2018. Towards a diagnosis of textual difficulties for children with dyslexia. In Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC 2018), Miyazaki, Japan: European Language Resources Association (ELRA). Radford, K., L. Lavrencic, R. Peters, K. Kiely, B. Hachey, S. Nowson, and W. Radford. 2018. Can adult mental health be predicted by childhood future-self narratives? Insights from the CLPsych 2018 shared task. In Proceedings of the Fifth Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology: From Keyboard to Clinic, pages 126–135, New Orleans, LA: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Rauschenberger, M., L. Rello, S. Füchsel, and J. Thomaschewski. 2016. A language resource of German errors written by children with dyslexia. In Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC'16), pages 83–87, Portorož, Slovenia: European Language Resources Association (ELRA).

Regneri, M., D. King, F. Walji, and O. Palikara. 2020. Images and imagination: Automated analysis of priming effects related to autism spectrum disorder and developmental language disorder. In Proceedings of the Workshop on Cognitive Modeling and Computational Linguistics, pages 11–27, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Rello, L., R. Baeza-Yates, and J. Llisterri. 2014a. DysList: An annotated resource of dyslexic errors. In Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC'14), pages 1289–1296, Reykjavik, Iceland: European Language Resources Association (ELRA).

Rello, L., H. Saggion, and R. Baeza-Yates. 2014b. Keyword highlighting improves comprehension for people with dyslexia. In Proceedings of the 3rd Workshop on Predicting and Improving Text Readability for Target Reader Populations (PITR), pages 30–37, Gothenburg, Sweden: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Rello, L., H. Saggion, R. Baeza-Yates, and E. Graells. 2012. Graphical schemes may improve readability but not understandability for people with dyslexia. In Proceedings of the First Workshop on Predicting and Improving Text Readability for target reader populations, pages25–32, Montréal, Canada: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Resnik, P., W. Armstrong, L. Claudino, T. Nguyen, V.-A. Nguyen, and J. Boyd-Graber. 2015. Beyond LDA: Exploring supervised topic modeling for depression-related language in Twitter. In Proceedings of the 2nd Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology: From Linguistic Signal to Clinical Reality, pages 99–107, Denver, Colorado: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Santos, W., A. Funabashi , and I. Paraboni . 2020. Searching Brazilian Twitter for signs of mental health issues. In Proceedings of the 12th Language Resources and Evaluation Conference, pages 6111–6117, Marseille, France: European Language Resources Association. Shing, H.-C. , S. Nair , A. Zirikly , M. Friedenberg , Daumé III, H. , and P. Resnik . 2018. Expert, crowdsourced, and machine assessment of suicide risk via online postings. In Proceedings of the Fifth Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology: From Keyboard to Clinic, pages 25–36, New Orleans, LA: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Song, H., J. You, J.-W. Chung, and J. C. Park. 2018. Feature attention network: Interpretable depression detection from social media. In Proceedings of the 32nd Pacific Asia Conference on Language, Information and Computation, Hong Kong: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Štajner, S., V. Yaneva, R. Mitkov, and S. P. Ponzetto . 2017. Effects of lexical properties on viewing time per word in autistic and neurotypical readers. In Proceedings of the 12th Workshop on Innovative Use of NLP for Building Educational Applications, pages 271–281, Copenhagen, Denmark: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Šuster, S., S. Tulkens, and W. Daelemans. 2017. A short review of ethical challenges in clinical natural language processing. In Proceedings of the First ACL Workshop on Ethics in Natural Language Processing, pages 80–87, Valencia, Spain: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Tabak, T. , and M. Purver . 2020. Temporal mental health dynamics on social media. In Proceedings of the 1st Workshop on NLP for COVID-19 (Part 2) at EMNLP 2020, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Tanaka, H., S. Sakti, G. Neubig, T. Toda, and S. Nakamura. 2014. Linguistic and acoustic features for automatic identification of autism spectrum disorders in children's narrative. In Proceedings of the Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology: From Linguistic Signal to Clinical Reality, pages 88–96, Baltimore, Maryland, USA: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Viani, N., L. Yin, J. Kam, A. Alawi, A. Bittar, R. Dutta, R. Patel, R. Stewart, and S. Velupillai . 2018. Time expressions in mental health records for symptom onset extraction. In Proceedings of the Ninth International Workshop on Health Text Mining and Information Analysis, pages 183–192, Brussels, Belgium: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Ward, A., and R. Crowley . 2011. Story assembly in a dyslexia fluency tutor. In Proceedings of the Sixth Workshop on Innovative Use of NLP for Building Educational Applications, pages 130–135, Portland, Oregon: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Whiteford, H. A., A. J. Ferrari, L. Degenhardt, V. Feigin, and T. Vos. 2015. The global burden of mental, neurological and substance use disorders: An analysis from the global burden of disease study 2010. PloS one, 10, no. 2: e0116820–e0116820.

World Health Organization . 2018. Mental health: Strengthening our response.

https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/mental-health-strengthening-our-response. Accessed February 12 , 2021.

Yadav, S., J. Chauhan, J. P. Sain, K. Thirunarayan, A. Sheth, and J. Schumm. 2020. Identifying depressive symptoms from tweets: Figurative language enabled multitask learning framework. In Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics, pages 696–709, Barcelona, Spain (Online): International Committee on Computational Linguistics. Yaneva, V. 2016. Assessing text and web accessibility for people with autism spectrum disorder. PhD thesis, University of Wolverhampton. Wolverhampton, United Kingdom. Yaneva, V., C. Orăsan, R. Evans, and O. Rohanian. 2017. Combining multiple corpora for readability assessment for people with cognitive disabilities. In Proceedings of the 12th Workshop on Innovative Use of NLP for Building Educational Applications, pages 121–132, Copenhagen, Denmark: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Yaneva, V., C. Orasan, L. A. Ha, and N. Ponomareva. 2019. A survey of the perceived text adaptation needs of adults with autism. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Recent Advances in Natural Language Processing (RANLP 2019), pages 1356–1363, Varna, Bulgaria: INCOMA Ltd.

Yaneva, V., I. Temnikova, and R. Mitkov. 2016. A corpus of text data and gaze fixations from autistic and non-autistic adults. In Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC'16), pages 480–487, Portorož, Slovenia: European Language Resources Association (ELRA).

Yates A., A. Cohan, and N. Goharian. 2017. Depression and self-harm risk assessment in online forums. In Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 2968–2978, Copenhagen, Denmark: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Yip, J. W. C. 2018. Communicating social support in online self-help groups for anxiety and depression: A qualitative discourse analysis. In Proceedings of the 32nd Pacific Asia Conference on Language, Information and Computation, Hong Kong: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Zirikly, A., P. Resnik, Ö. Uzuner, and K. Hollingshead. 2019. CLPsych 2019 shared task: Predicting the degree of suicide risk in Reddit posts. In Proceedings of the Sixth Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology, pages 24–33, Minneapolis, Minnesota: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Healthcare NLP Infrastructure for the Greek Language

Agresti, A. 2002. Categorical Data Analysis (2nd edition). New York: Wiley-Interscience. Aronson, A., and F.-M. Lang. 2010. An overview of MetaMap: Historical perspective and recent advances. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association 17, no. 3 (May): 229–236. doi:10.1136/jamia.2009.002733

Chapman, W. W. , J. N. Dowling , and M. M. Wagner . 2004. Fever detection from free-text clinical records for bio surveillance. Journal of biomedical informatics 37, no. 2 (April): 120–127. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2004.03.002

Dalianis, H. 2018. Clinical Text Mining: Secondary Use of Electronic Patient Records. New York: Springer Nature.

Demner-Fushman, D. , and J. Lin . 2007. Answering clinical questions with knowledge-based and statistical techniques. Computational Linguistics 33, no. 1 (March): 63–103. doi:10.1162/coli.2007.33.1.63

Diem, L. T. H., J.-P. Chevallet , and D. T. B. Thuy . 2007. Thesaurus-based query and document expansion in conceptual indexing with UMLS: Application in medical information retrieval. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Research, Innovation and Vision for the Future, Hanoi, Vietnam, 2007* , 242–246, doi:10.1109/RIVF.2007.369163 Eberhard, D. M. , G. F. Simons , and C. D. Fennig , eds. 2020. Ethnologue: Languages of the World (23rd edition). Dallas, Texas: SIL International. https://www.ethnologue.com/ Eisenstein, J. 2019. Introduction to Natural Language Processing. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.

Friedman, C. , and N. Elhadad . 2014. Natural language processing in health care and biomedicine. In: Biomedical Informatics: Computer Applications in Health Care and Biomedicine, ed. E. H. Shortliffe , and J. J. Cimino , 255–284. New York: Springer. doi:10.1007/978-1-4471-4474-8_8

Gašević, D. , D. Djurić , and V. Devedžić . 2009. Model Driven Engineering and Ontology Development (2nd edition). New York: Springer.

Gavrilidou, M., M. Koutsombogera, A. Patrikakos, and S. Piperidis . 2012. The Greek Language in the Digital Age. New York: Springer. http://www.meta-net.eu/whitepapers/e-book/greek.pdf

González-Carvajal, S. , and E. C. Garrido-Merchán . 2020. Comparing BERT against Traditional Machine Learning Text Classification. https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.13012

Grabar, N., C. Grouin, and Section Editors for the IMIA Yearbook Section on Natural Language Processing . 2019. A year of papers using biomedical texts: findings from the section on natural language processing of the IMIA yearbook. Yearbook of Medical Informatics 28, no. 1: 218–222. doi:10.1055/s-0039-1677937

Grouin, C., N. Grabar, and Section Editors for the IMIA Yearbook Section on Natural Language Processing . 2020. A year of papers using biomedical texts. Yearbook of Medical Informatics 29, no. 1: 221–225. doi:10.1055/s-0040-1701997

Gruber, T. R. 1993. Toward principles for the design of ontologies used for knowledge sharing. International Journal of Human-Computer Studies 43, no. 5-6: 907–928.

Harris, Z. S. 1954. Distributional structure. Word 10, no. 2–3: 146–162.

doi:10.1080/00437956.1954.11659520

Hersh, W. 2014. Information retrieval and digital libraries. In Biomedical Informatics: Computer Applications in Health Care and Biomedicine, ed. E. H. Shortliffe , and J. J. Cimino , 613–642. New York: Springer. doi:10.1007/978-1-4471-4474-8_21

Hládek, D., J. Staš, and M. Pleva. 2020. Survey of automatic spelling correction. Electronics 9, no. 10: 1670. doi:10.3390/electronics9101670

Horgan, D., J. Hackett, C. B. Westphalen, D. Kalra, E. Richer, M. Romao, A. L. Andreu, J. A. Lal, C. Bernini, B. Tumiene, S. Boccia, and A. Montserrat. 2020. Digitalisation and COVID-19: The perfect storm. Biomedicine Hub 5, no. 3 (September–December): 1341–1363. doi:10.1159/000511232

Hristovski, D., D. Dinevski, A. Kastrin, and T. C. Rindflesch. 2015. Biomedical question answering using semantic relations. BMC Bioinformatics 16, article number 6. doi:10.1186/s12859-014-0365-3

latrotek . 1997. MeSH Hellas. Biomedical Terminology: Greek-English and English-Greek Vocabulary. Athens: Medical Studies Association.

ICD10 . 2010. International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems. Greek Ministry of Health and Social Solidarity.

Jin, Q., B. Dhingra, W. Cohen, and X. Lu. 2018. Attention MeSH: Simple, effective and interpretable automatic MeSH indexer. In Proceedings of the 6th BioASQ Workshop A challenge on large-scale biomedical semantic indexing and question answering, Brussels, Belgium, November 1st, 2018, 47–56. Brussels: Association for Computational Linguistics. https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/W18-5306

Koutsikakis, J., I. Chalkidis, P. Malakasiotis, and I. Androutsopoulos. 2020. GREEK-BERT: The Greeks visiting Sesame Street. In Proceedings of the 11th Hellenic Conference on Artificial Intelligence (SETN 2020), Athens, Greece, September 2–4, 2020, 110–117. New York: Association for Computing Machinery. doi:10.1145/3411408.3411440

Lee, J., W. Yoon, S. Kim, D. Kim, S. Kim, C. H. So, and J. Kang. 2020. BioBERT: A pretrained biomedical language representation model for biomedical text mining. Bioinformatics 36, no. 4 (November): 1234–1240. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btz682

Levenshtein V. I. 1966. Binary codes capable of correcting deletions, insertions, and reversals. Soviet Physics Doklady 36, no. 8 (February): 707–710. Bibcode:1966SPhD...10..707L.

Liu, K., W. R. Hogan, and R. S. Crowley. 2011. Natural language processing methods and systems for biomedical ontology learning. Journal of Biomedical Informatics 44, no. 1 (February): 163–179. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2010.07.006

Manning, C. D., P. Raghavan, and H. Schutze. 2008. Scoring, term weighting, and the vector space model. In Introduction to Information Retrieval, 100–123. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511809071.007

Maroto, M., R. Reshef, A. E. Münsterberg, S. Koester, M. Goulding, and A. B. Lassar. 1997. Ectopic Pax-3 activates MyoD and Myf-5 expression in embryonic mesoderm and neural tissue. Cell 89, no. 1 (April): 139–148. doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80190-7

Mikolov, T., I. Sutskever, K. Chen, G. Corrado, and J. Dean. 2013. Distributed representations of words and phrases and their compositionality. https://arxiv.org/abs/1310.4546 Miotto, R., F. Wang, S. Wang, X. Jiang, and J. T. Dudley . 2018. Deep learning for healthcare: Review, opportunities and challenges. Briefings in Bioinformatics 19, no. 6 (November): 1236–1246. doi:10.1093/bib/bbx044

Nadeau, D. , and S. Satoshi . 2007. A survey of named entity recognition and classification. Lingvisticae Investigationes 30: 3–26.

Névéol, A., H. Dalianis, S. Velupillai, G. Savova, and P. Zweigenbaum. 2018. Clinical natural language processing in languages other than English: Opportunities and challenges. Journal of Biomedical Semantics 9, article number 12. doi:10.1186/s13326-018-0179-8

Orphanos G., and D. Christodoulakis . 1999. Part-of-speech disambiguation and unknown word guessing with decision trees. In *Proceedings of the 9th EACL Conference, Bergen, Norway, June 8–12, 1999*, 134–141. https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/E99–1018.pdf

Pantazara, M., E. Mantzari, A. Vagelatos, C. Kalamara, and A. Iordanidou. 2007. Development of a Greek biomedical corpus. In Proceedings of the 11th Panhellenic Conference on Informatics (PCI 2007), Patras, Greece, May 18–20, 2007, 549–556. Athens, Greece: New Technologies Publications.

Papantoniou, K., and Y. Tzitzikas . 2020. NLP for the Greek language: A brief survey. In Proceedings of the 11th Hellenic Conference on Artificial Intelligence (SETN 2020), Athens, Greece, September 2–4, 2020, 101–109. New York: Association for Computing Machinery. doi:10.1145/3411408.3411410

Rector, A., S. Schulz, J. M. Rodrigues, C. G. Chute, and H. Solbrig. 2019. On beyond Gruber: "Ontologies" in today's biomedical information systems and the limits of OWL. Journal of Biomedical Informatics, Vol. 100. doi:10.1016/j.yjbinx.2019.100002

Robertson, S. E., S. Walker, S. Jones, M. Hancock-Beaulieu, and M. Gatford. 1994. Okapi at TREC-3. In Proceedings of the Third Text Retrieval Conference (TREC 1994), Gaithersburg, USA, November 2-4, 1994. NIST Special Publication 500–225, 109–126. Gaithersburg: National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).

Rokach, L., and O. Maimon . 2005. Clustering Methods. In Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery Handbook, ed. O. Maimon , and L. Rokach , 321–352. Boston, MA: Springer. Russell, S. , and P. Norvig . 2003 [1995]. Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach (2nd edition). New Delhi: Prentice-Hall Of India Pvt. Limited.

Sarivougioukas, J., and A. Vagelatos . 2020. Introducing DRGs into Greek national healthcare system, in 27 weeks. In The Importance of Health Informatics in Public Health during a Pandemic, ed. J. Mantas , A. Hasman , M. S. Househ , P. Gallos , and E. Zoulias , 217–220. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: IOS Press Ebooks. doi:10.3233/SHTI200533

Savova, G., J. J. Masanz, P. V. Ogren, J. Zheng, S. Sohn, K. C. Kipper-Schuler, and C. G. Chute. 2010. Mayo clinical text analysis and knowledge extraction system (cTAKES): Architecture, component evaluation and applications. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association 17, no. 5 (September): 507–513. doi:10.1136/jamia.2009.001560 Shieber, S. M. 1986. An Introduction to Unification-based Approaches to Grammar. CSLI

lecture notes, no. 4. Stanford: Center for the Study of Language and Information. Tsaknaki, O., and K. Ioannidou . 2017. A System for named entity recognition and semantic relation extraction: An application to modern Greek biomedical texts. In Studies in Greek Linguistics 37, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Department of Linguistics, School of Philology, Faculty of Philosophy, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, May 13–14, 2016, 713–726. http://ins.web.auth.gr/images/MEG_PLIRI/MEG_37_713_726.pdf

Tsalidis, C., A. Vagelatos and G. Orphanos. 2004. An electronic dictionary as a basis for NLP tools: The Greek case. Paper presented at the *11th Conference on Natural Language Processing (TALN '04), Fez, Morocco, April 19–22, 2004*. https://arxiv.org/abs/cs/0408061 Tsalidis, C., G. Orphanos, E. Mantzari, M. Pantazara, C. Diolis, and A. Vagelatos. 2007. Developing a Greek biomedical corpus towards text mining. In *Proceedings of the 4th Corpus Linguistics Conference, CL2007, Birmingham, UK, July 27–30, 2007*, article number 137. https://www.birmingham.ac.uk/research/activity/corpus/publications/conference-archives/2007-birmingham.aspx

Tsalidis, C., M. Pantazara, P. Minos, and E. Mantzari. 2010. NLP tools for Lexicographic applications in Modern Greek. In Proceedings of eLexicography in the 21st Century: New Challenges, New Applications (eLex2009), Louvain-Ia-Neuve, Belgium, October 22–24, 2009, published in Cahiers du Cental 7: 457–462. Louvain: Presses universitaires de Louvain. Tseytlin E., K. Mitchell, E. Legowski, J. Corrigan, G. Chavan, and R. S. Jacobson. 2016. NOBLE – Flexible concept recognition for large-scale biomedical natural language processing.

BMC Bioinformatics 17, no 32: 1–15. doi:10.1186/s12859-015-0871-y Vagelatos, A., E. Mantzari, G. Orphanos, C. Tsalidis, C. Kalamara, and C. Diolis. 2007a. Implementing the NLP Infrastructure for Greek Biomedical Data Mining. Paper presented at the International Workshop: A Common Natural Language Processing Paradigm for Balkan Languages, RANLP 2007 Conference, Borovets, Bulgaria, September 27–29, 2007. http://lml.bas.bg/lml/balkan ws programme.pdf Vagelatos, A., E. Mantzari, G. Orphanos, C. Tsalidis, M. Pantazara, C. Kalamara, and C. Diolis, 2007b. Biomedical data mining for the Greek language. In Proceedings of the 12th World Congress on the Internet in Medicine (MEDNET 2007). Leipzig. Germany. October 7–10. 2007, published in Technology and Health-Care 15, no. 5. Amsterdam: IOS Press. Vagelatos, A., E. Mantzari, M. Pantazara, C. Tsalidis, and C. Kalamara. 2011. Developing tools and resources for the biomedical domain of the Greek language. Health Informatics Journal 17, no. 2 (June): 127–139, doi:10.1177/1460458211405007 Varlokosta, S., S. Stamouli, A. Karasimos, G. Markopoulos, M. Kakavoulia, M. Nerantzini, A. Pantoula, V. Evndanis, A. Economou, and A. Protopapas, 2016, A Greek corpus of aphasic discourse: Collection, transcription, and annotation specifications. In Proceedings of LREC 2016 Workshop Resources and Processing of Linguistic and Extra-Linguistic Data from People with Various Forms of Cognitive/Psychiatric Impairments (RaPID-2016), Portorož, Slovenia, May 23-28, 2016, 14-21. https://aphasia.talkbank.org/publications/2016/Varlokosta16.pdf Wagner, R. A., and M. J. Fischer, 1974. The string-to-string correction problem. Journal of the

ACM 21, no. 1: 168–173. doi:10.1145/321796.321811

Wang, Y., L. Wang, M. Rastegar-Mojarad, S. Moon, F. Shen, N. Afzal, S. Liu, Y. Zeng, S. Mehrabi, S. Sohn, and H. Liu. 2018. Clinical information extraction applications: A literature review. Journal of Biomedical Informatics 77 (January): 34–49. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2017.11.011 W3C (World Wide Web Consortium) 2012. OWL 2 Web Ontology Language Document Overview (2nd edition). https://www.w3.org/TR/2012/REC-owl2-profiles-20121211/

Yu, Y., M. Li, L. Liu, Y. Li, and J. Wang. 2019. Clinical big data and deep learning: Applications, challenges, and future outlooks. Big Data Mining and Analytics 2, no. 4 (December): 288–305. doi:10.26599/BDMA.2019.9020007

Zervopoulos, A. D., E. Geramanis, A. Toulakis, A. Papamichail, D. Triantafylloy, T. Tasoulas, and K. Kermanidis. 2019. Language processing for predicting suicidal tendencies: A case study in Greek poetry. In Proceedings of the 15th IFIP International Conference on Artificial Intelligence Applications and Innovations (AIAI), Hersonissos, Crete, Greece, May 24–26, 2019, 173–183. New York: Springer.

Formalizing the Recognition of Medical Domain Multiword Units

Agić, Ž. and B. Bekavac . 2013. Domain-aware evaluation of named entity recognition systems for Croatian. CIT. Journal of Computing and Information Technology 21, no. 3: 195–209. doi:10.2498/cit.1002190

Almić, P., & Šnajder, J. (2014). Determining the Semantic Compositionality of Croatian Multi-Word Expressions. In: Proceedings of the Ninth Language Technologies Conference, Information Society (IS-JT 2014), Ljubljana 32–37.

Alphonse, Y. and P. Bouillon . 2004. Methodology for building thematic indexes in medicine for French. In LREC 2004 Fourth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation, eds. M. T. Lino , M. F. Xavier , F. Ferreira , R. Costa and R. Silva , 789–792. ELRA - European Language Ressources Association. https://archive-ouverte.unige.ch/unige:2266 (accessed December 15 , 2020).

Barčot, B. and T. Milčić . 2019. Arijadnina nit u ovladavanju frazemima na nastavi materinskoga i stranoga jezika - kvantitativno obrađeni podaci (prvi dio studije). Filološke studije XVII, no. 1: 292–304. doi:10.17072/1857-6060-2019-17-1-292-304

Barrows Jr, R. C., M. Busuioc and C. Friedman. 2000. Limited parsing of notational text visit notes: ad-hoc v-s. NLP approaches. In Proceedings AMIA Symposium, 51–55.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/12247608_Limited_parsing_of_notational_text_visit_n otes_Ad-hoc_vs_NLP_approaches (accessed December 10, 2020).

Bekavac, B. and M. Tadić . 2009. A generic method for multi word extraction from wikipedia. In Technologies for the Processing and Retrieval of Semi-Structured Documents: Experience from the CADIAL Project, eds. M. Tadić , B. Dalbelo Bašić and M. Moens , 115–124. Zagreb: Croatian Language Technologies Society.

Borchert, F., C. Lohr, L. Modersohn, T. Langer, M. Follmann, J. P. Sachs, U. Hahn and M.-P. Schapranow . 2020. GGPONC: A corpus of german medical text with rich metadata based on clinical practice guidelines. In Proceedings of the 11th International Workshop on Health Text Mining and Information Analysis, 38–48, Association for Computational Linguistics. https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/2020.louhi-1.5.pdf (accessed December 10 , 2020). Borucinsky, M. , and Kegalj, J. (2017). Viserjecni nazivi u jeziku brodostrojarske struke (Multiword lexical unitsinMarine Engin eering Texts). In Od teorije do prakse u jeziku struke, Omrcen, Darija , and Krakic, Ana-Marija (eds.). Zagreb: Udruga nastavnika jezika struke, 2017, pp. 7–23.

Boujelben, I., S. Mesfar and A. Ben Hamadou . 2011. Methodological approach of terminological extraction applied to biomedical domain. In *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Information Systems and Economic Intelligence* SIIE2011, Marrakech. di Buono, M. P. , A. Maisto and S. Pelosi . 2015. From linguistic resources to medical entity recognition: A supervised morpho-syntactic approach. In (eds.) Proceedings of the ALLDATA2015: The First International Conference on Big Data, Small Da ta, Linked Data and Open Data, ALLDATA 82: 81–86, IARIA. ThinkMind ISBN: 978-1-61208-445-9. Grzymala-Busse, J. Schwab, I. di Buono, M. P.

Chute, C. G., S. P. Cohn and J. R. Campbell . 1998. A framework for comprehensive health terminology systems in the United States: Development guidelines, criteria for selection, and public policy implications. ANSI Healthcare Informatics Standards Board Vocabulary Working Group and the Computer-Based Patient Records Institute Working Group on Codes and Structures. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association 5: 503–510.

Doan, S., L. Bastarache, S. Klimkowski, J. C. Denny and H. Xu. 2010. Integrating existing natural language processing tools for medication extraction from discharge summaries. In Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association 17: 528–531.

Doan, S. and H. Xu . 2010. Recognizing medication related entities in hospital discharge summaries using support vector machine. In: Coling 2010: Poster Volume, 259–266.

Ehmann, B. and V. Garami . 2010. Narrative psychological content analysis with NooJ: Linguistic markers of time experience in self reports. In Applications of Finite-State Language Processing: Selected Papers from the 2008 International NooJ Conference, eds. T. Varadi , J. Kuti and M. Silberztein , 180–190, Newcastle: Cambridge Scholars Publishing.

El-Rab, W. G., O. R. Zaïane and M. El-Hajj . 2017. Formalizing clinical practice guideline for clinical decision support systems. Health Informatics Journal 23, no. 2, 146–156. 10.1177/1460458216632272 (accessed December 7, 2020).

Filipović Petrović, I. and J. Parizoska . 2019a. Konceptualna organizacija frazeoloških rječnika u e-leksikografiji. In Filologija: časopis Razreda za filološke znanosti, Zagreb: Hrvatske akademije znanosti i umjetnosti, 73, 27–45.

Filipović Petrović, I. and J. Parizoska . 2019b. Mogućnosti leksikografske obrade promjenjivih frazema u mrežnome frazeološkom rječniku hrvatskoga jezika. In E-rječnici i e-leksikografija. http://ihjj.hr/mreznik/uploads/7b5602698002896a0fd4f2dcee210796.pdf

Frančić, A. and M. Menac-Mihalić . 2013. Istraživanje i leksikografska obradba hrvatske dijalektne frazeologije. In A tko to ide? A xto tam idze? Zagreb: Hrvatska sveučilišna naklada; Hrvatsko filološko društvo, 67–84.

Friedman, C. 2005. Semantic text parsing for patient records. In: Medical Informatics, eds. H. Chen , S. S. Fuller , C. Friedman and W. Hersh. Integrated Series in Information Systems, vol 8. Boston, MA: Springer. 10.1007/0-387-25739-X_15 (accessed December 15 , 2020).

Friedman, C. and G. Hripcsak . 1998. Evaluating natural language processors in the clinical domain. Methods of Information in Medicine 37, no. 4-5: 334–344.

Friedman, C., G. Hripcsak and I. Shablinsky . 1998. An evaluation of natural language processing methodologies. In: *Proceedings of AMIA Symposium* , 855–859. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2232366/

Friedman, C., T. C. Rindflesch and M. Corn . 2013. Natural language processing: State of the art and prospects for significant progress, a workshop sponsored by the National Library of Medicine. Special Communication in Journal of Biomedical Informatics 46: 765–773.

Genevieve, G., R. Angus, J. Richard and S. Robert. 2013. Finding negative symptoms of schizophrenia in patient records. In *Proceedings of the Workshop on NLP for Medicine and Biology associated with RANLP 2013*, pages 9–17. Hissar, Bulgari. INCOMA Ltd. Shoumen, BULGARIA. https://aclanthology.org/W13-5102

Haug, P. J., Ranum, D. L., & Frederick, P. R. 1990. Computerized extraction of coded findings from free-text radiologic reports. Work in progress. Radiology, 174, no. 2: 543–548. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiology.174.2.2404321

Imaichi, O., T. Yanase and Y. Niwa . 2013. A Comparison of Rule-Based and Machine Learning Methods for Medical Information Extraction. In: The First Workshop on Natural Language Processing for Medical and Healthcare Fields, 38–42. Nagoya. Asian Federation of Natural Language Processing. https://aclanthology.org/W134607

Jelčić, J. 2014. Croatian EFL learners' comprehension of idiom use: Context, decomposability and age factors. Jezikoslovlje 15, no. 2-3: 373–393.

Johnson II D. B., R. Taira, A. F. Cardenas and D. Aberle . 1997. Extracting information from free text radiology reports. International Journal of Digital Libraries 1: 297–308, Springer-Verlag. Kang, N., B. Singh, Z. Afzal, E. M. v. Mulligen and J. A. Kors . 2013. Using rule-based natural language processing to improve disease normalization in biomedical text. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association 20: 876–881.

Kocijan, K., & Librenjak, S. (2018). The quest for croatian idioms as multiword units. In Multiword Units in Machine Translation and Translation Technology. Ruslan, Mitkov, Monti, Johanna, Gloria, Corpas Pastor, and Seretan, Violeta (eds.) Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company, pp. 202–221. doi :10.1075/cilt.341.10koc.

Kocijan, K., S. Kurolt and L. Mijić . 2020. Building Croatian medical dictionary from medical corpus. In Rasprave: Časopis Instituta za hrvatski jezik i jezikoslovlje 46/2, 765–782. 10.31724/rihij.46.2.17 (accessed December 1, 2020).

Kocijan, K. and S. Librenjak . 2016. Recognizing verb-based Croatian idiomatic MWUs. In Automatic Processing of Natural Language Electronic Texts with NooJ. Switzerland, Springer, str. 96–106.

Kocijan, K., K. Šojat and S. Kurolt . 2021. Multiword expressions in the medical domain: Who carries the domain specific meaning, In Formalizing Natural Languages: Applications to Natural Language Processing and Digital Humanities, eds. B. Bekavac, K. Kocijan, M. Silberztein and K. Šojat, 49–60, Switzerland: Springer, Cham.

Koleck, T. A., C. Dreisbach, P. E. Bourne and S. Bakken . 2019. Natural language processing of symptoms documented in free-text narratives of electronic health records: A systematic review. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA 26, no. 4: 364–379. 10.1093/jamia/ocy173 (accessed December 5 , 2020).

Kovačević, B. and E. Ramadanović . 2013. Frazemske polusloženice (od rječnika preko tvorbe do pravopisa i obratno). Rasprave Instituta za hrvatski jezik i jezikoslovlje 39, no. 1: 369–389. Lamare, J. B., T. Olatunji and L. Yao . 2020. On the diminishing return of labeling clinical reports. In Proceedings of the 3rd Clinical Natural Language Processing Workshop, 280–290, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics. DOI: 10.18653/v1/2020.clinicalnlp1.31

Leaman, R., L. Wojtulewich, R. Sullivan, A. Skariah, J. Yang and G. Gonzalez . 2010. Towards internet-age pharmacovigilance: Extracting adverse drug reactions from user posts to health-related social networks. In Proceedings of the 2010 Workshop on Biomedical Natural Language Processing, 117–125, ACL 2010, Association for Computational Linguistics. https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/W10-1915.pdf (accessed December 7, 2020).

Ljubešić, N., K. Dobrovoljc, S. Krek, M. Peršurić Antonić and D. Fišer. 2014. hrMWELex – A MWE lexicon of Croatian extracted from a parsed gigacorpus. In *Language Technologies: Proceedings of the 17th International Multiconference Information Society IS2014*. Institut 'Jožef Stefan'. Ljubljana, Slovenia, 25–31.

Marković, I. 2012. Uvod u jezičnu morfologiju, Disput, Zagreb.

Meystre, S. and P. J. Haug . 2006. Natural language processing to extract medical problems from electronic clinical documents: Performance evaluation. Journal of Biomedical Informatics 39: 589–599.

Mondal, A., D. Das and S. Bandyopadhyay . 2016. Relationship extraction based on category of medical concepts from lexical contexts. In Proceedings of the 14th Intl. Conference on Natural Language Processing (ICON2017), 212–219, Kolkata, India: NLP Association of India. https://aclanthology.org/W177527

Parizoska, J. 2018. What constitutes the core of a verbal idiom? Stability and variation in a cross-linguistic perspective. In *EUROPHRAS 2018 "Reproducibility from a Phraseological Perspective: Structural, Functional and Cultural Aspects"*. Uniwersytet w Białymstoku Białystok, Poland.

Pilan, I., P. H. Brekke, F. A. Dahl, T. Gundersen, H. Husby, Ø. Nytrø and L. Øvrelid. 2020. Classification of syncope cases in Norwegian Medical Records. In Proceedings of the 3rd Clinical Natural Language Processing Workshop, 79–84, Association for Computational Linguistics. (accessed December 10, 2020) https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/2020.clinicalnlp-1.9.pdf

Radek, I. and I. Pešut . 2016. Kolokacije u engleskom jeziku policijske struke. Policija i sigurnost 25: 370–396.

Rajh, I. 2015. Looking for multiword terms in a comparable bilingual corpus. In *Computerised and Corpus-based Approaches to Phraseology: Monolingual and Multilingual Perspectives*. Europhras 2015, Malaga, Spain.

Sandoval, M., J. Díaz, L. Campillos Llanos and T. Redondo . 2018. Biomedical term extraction: NLP techniques in computational medicine. International Journal of Interactive Multimedia and Artificial Intelligence, Special Issue on Artificial Intelligence Applications (4), DOI:

10.9781/ijimai.2018.04.001, 5: 51–59. (accessed January 7 , 2021).

Silberztein, M. 2016. Formalizing Natural Languages: The NooJ Approach, Cognitive science series, London, UK: Wiley-ISTE.

Silić, J. and I. Pranjković . 2005. Gramatika hrvatskoga jezika: za gimnazije i visoka učilišta. Zagreb: Školska knjiga.

Spasić I., J. Livsey, J. A. Keane and G. Nenadić . 2014. Text mining of cancer-related information: Review of current status and future directions. International Journal of Medical Informatics 83: 605–623.

Šojat, K. , M. Filko and D. Farkaš . 2016. Verbal Multiword Expressions in Croatian. In Proceedings of the Second International Conference Computational Linguistics in Bulgaria, Sofia: Institute for Bulgarian Language, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 78–85.

Tadić, M. and K. Šojat . 2003. Finding multiword term candidates in Croatian. In Proceedings of Information Extraction for Slavic Languages 2003 Workshop (IESL2003), 102–107, Sofija: BAS. Vidović Bolt, I. 2018. Frazemi - prevoditeljski kamen spoticanja. In Frazeologija, učenje i poučavanje. Rijeka: Zbornik radova s Međunarodne znanstvene konferencije.

Wang, Y., L. Wang, M. Rastegar-Mojarad, S. Moon, F. Shen, N. Afzal, S. Liu, Y. Zeng, S. Mehrabi, S. Sohn, et al. 2018. Clinical information extraction applications: A literature review. Journal of biomedical informatics. 77: 34–49. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2017.11.011 Wilcox, A. B. and G. Hripcsak . 1998. Knowledge discovery and data mining to assist natural language understanding. In *JAMIA Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, Hanley & Belfus, Inc. Orlando, Annu Fall Symp, 835–839.

Wilcox, A. B. and G. Hripcsak . 2003. The role of domain knowledge in automating medical text report classification. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association 10, no. 4: 330–338.

Zhu, F., P. Patumcharoenpol , C. Zhang , Y. Yang , J. Chan , A. Meechai , et al. 2013. Biomedical text mining and its applications in cancer research. Journal of Biomedical Informatics 46: 200–211.

HealFavor: Machine Translation Enabled Healthcare Chat Based Application

Al Is Making Bl Obsolete, and Machine Learning Is Leading the Way Free eBook . https://www.explorium.ai/resource/aiismakingbiobsoleteandmachinelearningisleadingthe way/download/

Bahdanau D., K. Cho, and Y. Bengio. 2016. Neural machine translation by jointly learning to align and translate. arXiv:14090473 [cs, stat].

Bocklisch T. , J. Faulkner , N. Pawlowski , et al. 2017. Rasa: Open source language understanding and dialogue management. arXiv:171205181 [cs].

Brown P. F., J. Cocke, S. A. Della Pietra, et al. 1990. A statistical approach to machine translation. Computational Linguistics. 16: 79–85.

Cho K., B. Van Merriënboer, C. Gulcehre, et al. 2014. Learning phrase representations using RNN encoder-decoder for statistical machine translation. arXiv preprint arXiv:14061078 Council Post: Supercharging Your Business With Chatbots [Internet]. 2021. [cited January 25]. Available from: https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbesagencycouncil/2019/04/10/supercharging-your-business-with-chatbots/?sh=ab090fa7b8ec

Devlin J., R. Zbib, Z. Huang, et al. 2014. Fast and robust neural network joint models for statistical machine translation. Proceedings of the 52nd annual meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers). pp. 1370–1380.

Eisele A., C. Federmann, H. Saint-Amand, et al. 2008. Using Moses to integrate multiple rulebased machine translation engines into a hybrid system. Proceedings of the Third Workshop on Statistical Machine Translation. Association for Computational Linguistics. pp. 179–182. https://aclanthology.org/W08-0328/

How Chatbot Technology Revolutionize the Healthcare Industry? | by ChatbotNews | Chatbot News Daily . https://chatbotnewsdaily.com/howchatbottechnologyrevolutionizethehealthcare industry957d8b700001

Isozaki H., T. Hirao, K. Duh, et al. 2010. Automatic evaluation of translation quality for distant language pairs. Proceedings of the 2010 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing. Association for Computational Linguistics. pp. 944–952. https://aclanthology.org/D10-1092

Khilji A. F. U. R., S. R. Laskar, P. Pakray, et al. 2020a. HealFavor: A chatbot application in healthcare. Analysis of Medical Modalities for Improved Diagnosis in Modern Healthcare.CRC Press. https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.1201/9781003146810-3/healfavor-chatbot-application-healthcare-abdullah-faiz-ur-rahman-khilji-sahinur-rahman-laskar-partha-pakray-rabiah-abdul-kadir-maya-silvi-lydia-sivaji-bandyopadhyay

Khilji A. F. U. R., S. R. Laskar, P. Pakray, et al. 2020b. HealFavor: Dataset and a prototype system for healthcare chatbot. 2020 International Conference on Data Science, Artificial Intelligence, and Business Analytics (DATABIA). IEEE. pp. 1–4.

https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/9190281

Koehn P. 2009. Statistical Machine Translation. Cambridge University Press.

Koehn P., F. J. Och, and D. Marcu. 2003. Statistical Phrase-Based Translation. University of Southern California Marina Del Rey Information Sciences Inst.

Lakew S. M. , M. Negri , and M. Turchi . 2020. Low resource neural machine translation: A benchmark for five African languages. arXiv:200314402 [cs].

Lample G. , and A. Conneau . 2019. Cross-lingual language model pretraining. arXiv:190107291 [cs].

Laskar S. R. , Dutta A. , Pakray P. , et al. 2019a. Neural machine translation: English to Hindi. 2019 IEEE Conference on Information and Communication Technology. IEEE. pp. 1–6.

Laskar S. R., P. Pakray, and S. Bandyopadhyay. 2019b. Neural machine translation: Hindi-Nepali. Proceedings of the Fourth Conference on Machine Translation (Volume 3: Shared Task Papers, Day 2). pp. 202–207.

Laskar S. R., R. P. Singh, P. Pakray, et al. 2019c. English to Hindi multi-modal neural machine translation and hindi image captioning. Proceedings of the 6th Workshop on Asian Translation. Association for Computational Linguistics. pp. 62–67. https://aclanthology.org/D19-5205

Laskar S. R., A.F.U.R. Khilji, P. Pakray, et al. 2020. EnAsCorp1.0: English-Assamese Corpus. Proceedings of the 3rd Workshop on Technologies for MT of Low Resource Languages. Suzhou, China: Association for Computational Linguistics. pp. 62–68.

Lavie A. , and M. J. Denkowski . 2009. The METEOR metric for automatic evaluation of machine translation. Machine Translation. 23: 105–115.

Law E. L.-C. , V. Roto , M. Hassenzahl , et al. 2009. Understanding, scoping and defining user experience: A survey approach. Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. ACM. pp. 719–728. https://doi.org/10.1145/1518701.1518813

Luong M.-T. , H. Pham , and C. D. Manning . 2015. Effective approaches to attention-based neural machine translation. arXiv:150804025 [cs].

Mauldin M. L. 1994. ChatterBots, TinyMuds, and the Turing test: Entering the Loebner prize competition. Proceedings of the 12th National Conference on Artificial Intelligence. Seattle, WA,

USA, July 31 - August 4, Volume 1, pp. 16–21.

Mehta P., D. F. McAuley, M. Brown, et al. 2020. COVID-19: Consider cytokine storm syndromes and immunosuppression. Lancet (London, England). 395: 1033.

Mikolov T., S. Kombrink , L. Burget , et al. 2011. Extensions of recurrent neural network language model. 2011 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP). IEEE. pp. 5528–5531.

Morris A. C., V. Maier, and P. Green. 2004. From WER and RIL to MER and WIL: Improved evaluation measures for connected speech recognition. Eighth International Conference on Spoken Language Processing, ISCA, http://www.isca-

speech.org/archive/interspeech_2004/i04_2765.html

Nagao M. 1984. A framework of a mechanical translation between Japanese and English by analogy principle. Artificial and Human Intelligence. 351–354.

https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.5555/2927.2938

Papineni K., S. Roukos, T. Ward, et al. 2002. Bleu: A method for automatic evaluation of machine translation. Proceedings of the 40th annual meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics. Association for ComputationalLinguistics, pp. 311–318. https://aclanthology.org/P02-1040

Pathak A. , and P. Pakray . 2019. Neural machine translation for indian languages. Journal of Intelligent Systems. 28: 465–477.

Pathak A., P. Pakray, and J. Bentham. 2019. English–Mizo machine translation using neural and statistical approaches. Neural Computing and Applications. 31: 7615–7631.

Pennington J., R. Socher , and C. Manning . 2014. GloVe: Global vectors for word representation. Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP). Doha, Qatar: Association for Computational Linguistics. pp. 1532–1543. Shawar B. A. , and E. Atwell. 2007. Different measurement metrics to evaluate a chatbot system. Proceedings of the Workshop on Bridging the Gap: Academic and Industrial Research in Dialog Technologies, Association for ComputationalLinguistics, pp. 89–96. https://aclanthology.org/W07-0313

Snover M., B. Dorr, R. Schwartz, et al. 2006. A study of translation edit rate with targeted human annotation. Proceedings of the 7th Conference of the Association for Machine Translation in the Americas: Technical Papers, Association for Machine Translation in the Americas, pp. 223–231. https://aclanthology.org/2006.amta-papers.25

Somers H. 1999. Example-based machine translation. Machine Translation. 14: 113–157. Sutskever I., O. Vinyals, and Q. V. Le . 2014. Sequence to sequence learning with neural networks. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. pp. 3104–3112. https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2014/hash/a14ac55a4f27472c5d894ec1c3c743d2-Abstract.html

Turing A. M. 2009. Computing machinery and intelligence. In Parsing the Turing Test: Philosophical and Methodological Issues in the Quest for the Thinking Computer, editors. R. Epstein , G. Roberts , and G. Beber , 23–65, Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.

Vaswani A., N. Shazeer, N. Parmar, et al. 2017. Attention is all you need. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, Curran Associates, Inc. pp. 5998–6008.

https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2017/file/3f5ee243547dee91fbd053c1c4a845aa-Paper.pdf Vauquois B. 1968. A survey of formal grammars and algorithms for recognition and transformation in mechanical translation. IFIP Congress, Volume 2, (2). pp. 1114–1122.

Information Processing, Proceedings of {IFIP} Congress 1968, Edinburgh, UK, 5-10 August 1968, Hardware, Applications.

Wang X., Y. Tsvetkov, and G. Neubig . 2020. Balancing training for multilingual neural machine translation. arXiv:200406748 [cs].

Weizenbaum J. 1966. ELIZA—A computer program for the study of natural language communication between man and machine. Commun ACM. 9: 36–45.

Wu L. , A. Fisch , S. Chopra , et al. 2017. StarSpace: Embed all the things! arXiv:170903856 [cs].

Zemčík M. T. 2019. A brief history of chatbots. DEStech Transactions on Computer Science and Engineering. http://dpijournals.com/index.php/dtcse/article/view/31439

Development of a Machine Translation System for Promoting the Use of a Low Resource Language in the Clinical Domain: The Case of Basque

Bawden, R., G. Di Nunzio, and C. Grozea et al. 2020. Findings of the WMT 2020 biomedical translation shared task: Basque, Italian and Russian as new additional languages. In *5th Conference on Machine Translation (WMT2020)*. Association for Computational Linguistics. Online. European Language Resources Association, Marseille, France.

Caswell, I., C. Chelba, and D. Grangier. 2019. Tagged back-translation. In *Proceedings of the Fourth Conference on Machine Translation (WMT2019)*. Association for Computational Linguistics. Florence, Italy.

Currey, A., A. V. Miceli-Barone, and K. Heafield . 2017. Copied monolingual data improves low-resource neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the Second Conference on Machine Translation (WMT2017)*. Association for Computational Linguistics. Copenhagen, Denmark. Desjardins, L. 2003. La santé des francophones du Nouveau-Brunswick. Petit-Rocher: Société des Acadiens et des Acadiennes du Nouveau-Brunswick.

Etchegoyhen, T., and H. Gete. 2020. Handle with care: A case study in comparable corpora exploitation for neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 12th Language Resources and Evaluation Conference (LREC 2020)*. European Language Resources Association. Marseille, France.

Edunov, S. , M. Ott , M. Auli , and D. Grangier . 2018. Understanding back-translation at scale. arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.09381

Etchegoyhen, T., E. Martínez, and A. Azpeitia et al. 2018. Neural machine translation of Basque. In *Proceedings of the 21st Annual Conference of the European Association for Machine Translation (EAMT 2018)*. European Association for Machine Translation. Alacant, Spain.

European Observatory on Health Care Systems . 1999. Health Systems in Transition. Luxembourg: Health system review.

Gerkens, S. , and S. Merkur . 2010. Belgium: Health system review. Health Systems in Transition 12, no. 5: 1–266.

Graça, M., Y. Kim, J. Schamper, S. Khadivi, and H. Ney. 2019. Generalizing back-translation in neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the Fourth Conference on Machine Translation (WMT2019)*. Association for Computational Linguistics. Florence, Italy.

Hu, J., M. Xia, G. Neubig, and J. Carbonell. 2019. Domain adaptation of neural machine translation by lexicon induction. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Conference of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL 2019)*. Association for Computational Linguistics. Florence, Italy.

Joanes Etxeberri Saria V. Edizioa . 2014. Donostia unibertsitate ospitaleko alta-txostenak. Komunikazio Unitatea: Donostiako Unibertsitate Ospitalea.

Magnini, B., B. Altuna, A. Lavelli, M. Speranza, and R. Zanoli. 2020. The E3C project: Collection and annotation of a multilingual corpus of clinical cases. In *Proceedings of the Seventh Italian Conference on Computational Linguistics (CLiC-it 2020)*. Accademia University Press. Online.

Ott, M., S. Edunov, and A. Baevski et al. 2019. Fairseq: A fast, extensible toolkit for sequence modeling. In *Proceedings of NAACL-HLT 2019: Demonstrations*. Association for Computational Linguistics. Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA.

Papineni, K., S. Roukos, T. Ward, and W. Zhu. 2002. BLEU: A method for automatic evaluation of machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 40th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL 2002)*. Association for Computational Linguistics. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA.

Perez-de-Viñaspre, O. 2017. Automatic medical term generation for a low-resource language: Translation of SNOMED CT into Basque. PhD thesis, Donostia, Spain. University of the Basque Country.

Provilkov, I., D. Emelianenko, and E. Voita. 2020. BPE-dropout: Simple and effective subword regularization. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL 2020)*. Association for Computational Linguistics. Online.

Sarasola, I. , P. Salaburu , and J. Landa . 2015. Hizkuntzen Arteko Corpusa (HAC). Bilbao, Spain. University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU (Euskara Institutua).

Sennrich, R., B. Haddow , and A. Birch . 2015. Neural machine translation of rare words with subword units. arXiv preprint arXiv:1508.07909

Sennrich, R., B. Haddow, and A. Birch. 2016. Improving neural machine translation models with monolingual data. In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL 2016)*. Association for Computational Linguistics. Berlin, Germany.

Soto, X., O. Perez-de-Viñaspre, G. Labaka, and M. Oronoz, (2019a). Neural machine translation of clinical texts between long distance languages. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association 26, no. 12: 1478–1487.

Soto, X., O. Perez-de-Viñaspre, M. Oronoz, and G. Labaka. (2019b). Leveraging SNOMED CT terms and relations for machine translation of clinical texts from Basque to Spanish. In *Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Multilingualism at the Intersection of Knowledge Bases and Machine Translation*. European Association for Machine Translation. Dublin, Ireland.

Soto, X., D. Shterionov, A. Poncelas, and A. Way. 2020. Selecting backtranslated data from multiple sources for improved neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Conference of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL 2020)*. Association for Computational Linguistics. Online.

Tiedemann, J. 2012. Parallel Data, Tools and Interfaces in OPUS. In *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC 2012)*. European Language Resources Association. Istanbul, Turkey.

Vaswani, A., N. Shazeer, and N. Parmar et al. 2017. Attention is all you need. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS 2017)*. Curran Associates, Inc. Long Beach, California, USA.

Clinical NLP for Drug Safety

Aagaard, L., J. Strandell, L. Melskens, P. Petersen, and E. Hansen. 2012. Global patterns of adverse drug reactions over a decade: Analyses of spontaneous reports to VigiBase™. Drug Safety: An International Journal of Medical Toxicology and Drug Experience. 35. 10.2165/11631940-00000000-00000

Airola, A., S. Pyysalo, J. Björne, T. Pahikkala, F. Ginter, and T. Salakoski. 2008. All-paths graph kernel for protein-protein interaction extraction with evaluation of cross-corpus learning. BMC Bioinformatics 2, no. S2 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1186/147121059S11S2

Akhtyamova, L., M. Alexandrov, and J. Cardiff. 2017. Adverse drug extraction in twitter data using convolutional neural network. 2017 28th International Workshop on Database and Expert Systems Applications (DEXA), Lyon, pp. 88–92, doi: 10.1109/DEXA.2017.34

Alabi, J. , et al. 2020. Massive vs. Curated embeddings for low-resourced languages: The case of Yorùbá and Twi. Proceedings of The 12th Language Resources and Evaluation Conference. European Language Resources Association: Marseille, France.

Aramaki, E., M. Morita, Y. Kano, and T. Ohkuma. 2014. Overview of the NTCIR-11 MedNLP-2 task. In Proceedings of the 11th NTCIR Conference. Tokyo Japan.

Aramaki, E., M. Morita, Y. Kano, and T. Ohkuma. 2016. Overview of the NTCIR-12 MedNLPDoc task. In Proceedings of the 12th NTCIR Conference on Evaluation of Information Access Technologies. Tokyo Japan.

Arizona State University . Diego Lab, http://diego.asu.edu/index.php?downloads=yes Aronson, A. R. and F.-M. Lang . 2010. An overview of metamap: Historical perspective and recent advances. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association 17, no. 3: 229–236. Baziotis, C. , B. Haddow , and A. Birch . 2020 Language Model Prior for Low-Resource Neural Machine Translation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.14928

Becker, M. , and B. Böckmann . 2016. Extraction of umls®concepts using apache ctakesTM for german language. Stud Health Technol Inform 223: 71–76. PMID: 27139387.

Benzarti, S. , and W. B. Abdessalem Karaa . 2013. AnnoPharma: Detection of substances responsible of ADR by annotating and extracting information from MEDLINE abstracts. 2013

International Conference on Control, Decision and Information Technologies (CoDIT). IEEE. Buyko, E., E. Beisswanger, and U. Hahn. 2012. The Extraction of Pharmacogenetic and Pharmacogenomic Relations–A Case Study Using PharmGKB. Pac Symp Biocomput. Hawaii, USA. Singapore: World Scientific, 376–387.

Chapman, W. W., P. M. Nadkarni, L. Hirschman, L. W. D'Avolio, G. K. Savova, and O. Uzuner . 2011. Overcoming barriers to NLP for clinical text: The role of shared tasks and the need for additional creative solutions. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association 18, no. 5: 540–543.

Crichton, G., S. Pyysalo, B. Chiu, and A. Korhone. 2017. A neural network multi-task learning approach to biomedical named entity recognition. BMC Bioinformatics 18, no. 1: 368. Dalianis, H., and S. Velupillai. 2010. De-identifying Swedish clinical text-refinement of a gold standard and experiments with Conditional random fields. Journal of Biomedical Semantics 1, no. 1: 6.

Demner-Fushman, D., W. Chapman, and C. McDonald . 2009. What can natural language processing do for clinical decision support? Journal of biomedical informatics 42, no. 5: 760–772. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2009.08.007

Denny, J. C., P. R. Irani, F. H. Wehbe, J. D. Smithers, A. Spickard III. 2003. The KnowledgeMap project: development of a conceptbased medical school curriculum database, AMIA Annu Symp Proc. 2003: 195–199.

Díaz, N. P. C., and M. J. Maña López . 2015. An analysis of biomedical tokenization: Problems and strategies. In Proceedings of the Sixth International Workshop on Health Text Mining and Information Analysis, pp. 40–49, Lisbon, Portugal. Association for Computational Linguistics. European Medicine Agency . 1995. EudraVigilance, https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory/research-development/pharmacovigilance/eudravigilance

Friedman, C., P. O. Alderson , J. H. M. Austin , J. J. Cimino , and B. Stephen Johnson . 1994. A general natural-language text processor for clinical radiology. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association 1, no. 2: 161–174.

Fundel, K. , R. Küffner , and R. Zimmer . 2007. RelEx—Relation extraction using dependency parse trees. Bioinformatics 23, no. 3: 365–371.

Ginn, R., P. Pimpalkhute, A. Nikfarjam, A. Patki, K. O'Connor, A. Sarker, et al. 2014. Mining twitter for adverse drug reaction mentions: A corpus and classification benchmark. Fourth workshop on Building and Evaluating Resources for Health and Biomedical Text Processing (BioTxtM). Reykjavik, Iceland.

Government of Canada . 2020. Drugs and health products, https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products.html

Grouin, C., T. Lavergne, and A. Névéol. 2014. Optimizing annotation efforts to build reliable annotated corpora for training statistical models. In Proceedings of LAW VIII The 8th Linguistic Annotation Workshop, pp. 54–58. Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics and Dublin City University.

Gurulingappa, H., et al. 2012. Development of a benchmark corpus to support the automatic extraction of drug-related adverse effects from medical case reports. Journal of Biomedical Informatics 45, no. 5: 885–892.

Gurulingappa, H., A. MateenRajpu, and L. Toldo. 2012. Extraction of potential adverse drug events from medical case reports. Journal of Biomedical Semantics 3, no. 1: 1–10.

Habibi, M., L. Weber, M. Neves, D. L. Wiegandt, and U. Leser. 15 July 2017. Deep learning with word embeddings improves biomedical named entity recognition. Bioinformatics 33, no. 14: i37–i48, doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx228

Harpaz, R., W. DuMouchel , N. H. Shah , D. Madigan , P. Ryan , and C. Friedman . 2012. Novel data-mining methodologies for adverse drug event discovery and analysis. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 91, no. 3: 1010–1021. [PubMed: 22549283].

Hedderich, M. A., et al. 2020. A Survey on Recent Approaches for Natural Language Processing in Low-Resource Scenarios. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.12309

Huang, Y., H. J. Lowe, D. Klein, and R. J. Cucina. 2005. Improved identification of noun phrases in clinical radiology reports using a high-performance statistical natural language parser augmented with the UMLS specialist lexicon. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2005 MayJun; 12, no. 3: 275–285. doi: 10.1197/jamia.M1695. Epub 2005 Jan 31. PMID: 15684131; PMCID: PMC1090458.

Kandula, S. , and Q. Zeng-Treitler . 2010. Exploring relations among semantic groups: A comparison of concept co-occurrence in biomedical sources. Stud Health Technol Inform 160: 995–999.

Kang, N. , et al. 2014. Knowledge-based extraction of adverse drug events from biomedical text. BMC Bioinformatics 15, no. 1: 1–8.

Katrenko, S. , and P. Adriaans . 2006. Learning relations from biomedical corpora using dependency tree levels. KDECB'06 Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Emergent Complexity in Bioinformatics; Ghent, Belgium. Heidelberg: Springer, pp. 61–80.

Kim, Y., Y. Jernite, D. Sontag, and A. Rush. 2016. Character-aware neural language models. Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 30, no. 1. Retrieved from https://ojs.aaai.org/index.php/AAAI/article/view/10362

Koehn P., and R. Knowles . 2017. Six challenges for neural machine translation. In Proceedings of the First Workshop on Neural Machine Translation, pp. 28–39, Vancouver. Kors, J., S. Clematide, S. Akhondi, E. van Mulligen, and D. Rebholz-Schuhmann . 2015. A multilingual gold-standard corpus for biomedical concept recognition: The Mantra GSC. J Am Med Inform Assoc 22, no. 5: 948–956.

Kudo, T. 2018. Subword regularization: Improving neural network translation models with multiple subword candidates. In Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics. (Volume 1: Long Papers), pp. 66–75, Melbourne, Australia. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Kudo, T., and J. Richardson . 2018. Sentencepiece: A simple and language independent subword tokenizer and detokenizer for neural text processing. arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.06226 Kumar, R., P. Jha, and V. Sahula . 2019. An Augmented Translation Technique for Low Resource Language Pair: Sanskrit to Hindi Translation. Proceedings of the 2019 2nd International Conference on Algorithms, Computing and Artificial Intelligence, December 2019, pp. 377–383.

Lafferty, J., A. McCallum , and F. Pereira . 2001. Conditional random fields: Probabilistic models for segmenting and labeling sequence data. Proceedings of the 18thInternational Conference on Machine Learning 2001 (ICML 2001), pp. 282–289.

Lee, J. , et al. 2020. BioBERT: A pre-trained biomedical language representation model for biomedical text mining. Bioinformatics 36, no. 4: 1234–1240.

Liu, H., S. J. Bielinski, S. Sohn, S. Murphy, K. B. Wagholikar, S. R. Jonnalagadda, K. Ravikumar, S. T. Wu, I. J. Kullo, and C. G. Chute . 2013. An information extraction framework for cohort identification using electronic health records. AMIA Summits on Translational Science Proceedings 2013: 149.

LVG . 1994. https://lhncbc.nlm.nih.gov/LSG/Projects/lexicon/current/web/index.html Ma, X. , and E. Hovy . 2016. End-to-end sequence labeling via bi-directional lstm-cnns-crf. arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.01354

MacKinlay, A., H. Aamer, and A. J. Yepes . 2017. Detection of adverse drug reactions using medical named entities on Twitter. AMIA Annual Symposium Proceedings. Vol. 2017. American Medical Informatics Association.

Magueresse, A., V. Carles , and E. Heetderks . 2020. Low-resource Languages: A Review of Past Work and Future Challenges. arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.07264

McCallum A. , D. Freitag , and F. Pereira . 2000. Maximum entropy Markov models for information extraction and segmentation. ICML 17, no. 2000: 591–598.

Névéol, A., C. Grouin, J. Leixa, S. Rosset, and P. Zweigenbaum. 2014. The QUAERO French medical corpus: A resource for medical entity recognition and normalization. In Proceedings of BioText Mining Workshop, LREC 2014. BioTxtM 2014. Reykjavik, Iceland, pp. 24–30.

Pyysalo, S., A. Airola, J. Heimonen, J. Björne, F. Ginter, and T. Salakoski. 2008. Comparative analysis of five protein-protein interaction corpora. In BMC Bioinformatics 9, no. 3: 1–11. BioMed Central.

Rebholz-Schuhmann, D., S. Clematide, F. Rinaldi, S. Kafkas, E. van Mulligen, C. Bui, J. Hellrich, I. Lewin, D. Milward, M. Poprat, A. Jimeno-Yepes, U. Hahn, and J. Kors. 2013. Entity recognition in parallel multi-lingual biomedical corpora: The CLEF-ER laboratory overview. In Information Access Evaluation. Multilinguality, Multimodality, and Visualization. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, editors P. Forner, H. Müller, R. Paredes, P. Rosso, and B. Stein, 353–367, Springer. Rezei, Z., et al. 2020. Adverse drug reaction detection in social media by deep learning methods. Cell Journal (Yakhteh) 22, no. 3: 319.

Rinaldi, F. , et al. 2007. Mining of relations between proteins over biomedical scientific literature using a deep-linguistic approach. Artificial Intelligence in Medicine 39, no. 2: 127–136. Sarker, A. , and G. Gonzalez . 2015. Portable automatic text classification for adverse drug reaction detection via multi-corpus training. J Biomed Inform 53: 196–207.

Savova, G. K., J. J. Masanz, P. V. Ogren, J. Zheng, S. Sohn, K. C. KipperSchuler, and C. G. Chute . 2010. Mayo clinical text analysis and knowledge extraction system (ctakes): Architecture, component evaluation and applications. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association 17, no. 5: 507–513.

Schuster, M., and K. Nakajima . 2012. Japanese and Korean voice search. 2012 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), Kyoto, pp. 5149–5152, doi: 10.1109/ICASSP.2012.6289079

Sennrich, R., B. Haddow, and A. Birch. 2015. Neural machine translation of rare words with subword units. arXiv preprint arXiv:1508.07909

Sennrich, R., B. Haddow, and A. Birch. 2015. Neural machine translation of rare words with subword units. arXiv preprint arXiv:1508.07909

Song, K. , et al. 2019. Mass: Masked sequence to sequence pre-training for language generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.02450

Song, M., H. Yu, and W. Han. 2015. Developing a hybrid dictionary-based bio-entity recognition technique. BMC medical informatics and decision making 15, no. 1: 1–8. Soysal, E., et al. 2018. CLAMP–A toolkit for efficiently building customized clinical natural language processing pipelines. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association 25, no. 3: 331–336.

Tavassoli, N., et al. 2009. Reporting rate of adverse drug reactions to the French pharmacovigilance system with three step 2 analgesic drugs: Dextropropoxyphene, tramadol and codeine (in combination with paracetamol). British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology 68, no. 3: 422–426.

Tiftikci, M. , et al. 2017. Extracting adverse drug reactions using deep learning and dictionary based approaches. TAC.

Tsai, R. T. H., C. L. Sung , H. J. Dai et al. 2006. NERBio: Using selected word conjunctions, term normalization, and global patterns to improve biomedical named entity recognition. BMC Bioinformatics 7, no. 5: 1–14. BioMed Centra. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-7-S5-S11

US Food and Drug Administration . 2016. Introduction to FDA's MedWatch Adverse Event Reporting Program, https://www.fda.gov/media/95928/download

VA Center of Medication and Safety . 2006. Adverse drug events, adverse drug reactions and medication errors,

https://www.pbm.va.gov/PBM/vacenterformedicationsafety/tools/AdverseDrugReaction.pdf Wang, Y., et al. 2019. Applications of natural language processing in clinical research and practice. Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Tutorials (pp. 22–25).

Wang, X., Y. Zhang, X. Ren, Y. Zhang, M. Zitnik, J. Shang, C. Langlotz, and J. Han. 2018. Cross-type biomedical named entity recognition with deep multi-task learning. Bioinformatics 35, no. 10: 1745–1752. ISSN = 1367–4803, 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty869.

Wieting, J., et al. 2016. Charagram: Embedding words and sentences via character n-grams. arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.02789

Xu, J., Z. Li, Q. Wei et al. 2019. Applying a deep learning-based sequence labeling approach to detect attributes of medical concepts in clinical text. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making 19, no. 236: 1–8. doi:10.1186/s12911-019-0937-2

Yates, A. , and N. Goharian . 2013. ADRTrace: Detecting expected and unexpected adverse drug reactions from user reviews on social media sites. Proceedings of the 35th European conference on Advances in Information Retrieval: 816–819. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. Yoon, W. , C. So , and J. Lee et al. 2019. CollaboNet: collaboration of deep neural networks for biomedical named entity recognition. BMC Bioinformatics 20, no. 10: 55–65. doi: 10.1186/s12859-019-2813-6

Zhang, L., L. Wong, H. Ying (Helen), and I. Wong. 2014. Pharmacovigilance in China: Current situation, successes and challenges. Drug Safety: An International Journal of Medical Toxicology and Drug Experience 37, no. 10: 765–770. doi: 10.1007/s40264-014-0222-3

Language- and Domain-Independent Approach to Automatic Detection of COVID-19 Fake News

Alguliyev, R. M., R. M. Aliguliyev, N. R. Isazade, A. Abdi, and N. Idris. 2019. COSUM: Text summarization based on clustering and optimization. Expert Systems (Wiley Online Library) 36, No. 1: e12340.

Bang, Y., E. Ishii , S. Cahyawijaya , Z. Ji , and P. Fung . 2021. Model Generalization on COVID-19 Fake News Detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.03841*

Blei, D. M. , A. Y. Ng , and M. I. Jordan . 2003. Latent dirichlet allocation. The Journal of Machine Learning Research (JMLR. org) 3: 993–1022.

Felber, T. 2021. Constraint 2021: Machine Learning Models for COVID-19 Fake News Detection Shared Task. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.03717*

Feng, S., R. Banerjee, and Y. Choi. 2012. Syntactic stylometry for deception detection. Vol. 2, In *Proceedings of the 50th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 171–175.

Fornaciari, T., and M. Poesio. 2014. Identifying fake Amazon reviews as learning from crowds. In *Proceedings of the 14th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 279–287.

Fusilier, D. H., M. Montes-y-Gómez, P. Rosso, and R. G. Cabrera. 2015. Detection of opinion spam with character n-grams. In International Conference on Intelligent Text Processing and Computational Linguistics, 285–294. Springer.

García-Hernández, R. A., and Y. Ledeneva . 2013. Single extractive text summarization based on a genetic algorithm. In Mexican Conference on Pattern Recognition, 374–383. Springer.

Gu, X., P. P. Angelov, D. Kangin, and J. C. Principe . 2017. A new type of distance metric and its use for clustering. Evolving Systems (Springer) 8, no. 3: 167–177.

Hamid, A. , et al. 2020. Fake News Detection in Social Media using Graph Neural Networks and NLP Techniques: A COVID-19 Use-case. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.07517*

Haouari, F., M. Hasanain, R. Suwaileh, and T. Elsayed . 2020. ArCOV19-Rumors: Arabic COVID-19 Twitter Dataset for Misinformation Detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.08768* Hernández-Castañeda, N., R. A. García-Hernández, Y. Ledeneva, and Á. Hernández-Castañeda . 2020. Evolutionary automatic text summarization using cluster validation indexes. Computación y Sistemas 24, no. 2: 583–595.

Kar, D., M. Bhardwaj, S. Samanta, and A. P. Azad. 2020. No Rumours Please! A Multi-Indic-Lingual Approach for COVID Fake-Tweet Detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.06906*

Le, Q. , and T. Mikolov . 2014. Distributed representations of sentences and documents. *International Conference on Machine Learning* (PMLR), 1188–1196.

Lin, C. 2004. Rouge: A package for automatic evaluation of summaries. Text Summarization Branches Out. Vol. 1. Association for Computational Linguistics, 74–81.

Liu, Y., Z. Li, H. Xiong, X. Gao, and J. Wu. 2010. Understanding of internal clustering validation measures. In *2010 IEEE International Conference on Data Mining*, 911–916. IEEE. Newman, M. L., J. W. Pennebaker, D. S. Berry, and J. M. Richards . 2003. Lying words: Predicting deception from linguistic styles. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin (Sage Publications) 29, no. 5: 665–675.

Patwa, P., et al. 2020. Fighting an infodemic: Covid-19 fake news dataset. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.03327*

Polage, D. 2017. The effect of telling lies on belief in the truth. Europe's Journal of Psychology (PsychOpen) 13, no. 4: 633.

Rendón, E. , I. Abundez , A. Arizmendi , and E. M. Quiroz . 2011. Internal versus external cluster validation indexes. International Journal of Computers and Communications 5, no. 1: 27–34.

Rosso, P. , and L. C. Cagnina . 2017. Deception detection and opinion spam. Cambria, E. , Das, D. , Bandyopadhyay, S. , Feraco, A. (eds). Vol. 5, In A Practical Guide to Sentiment Analysis, 155–171. Springer, Cham.

Rosso, P., F. Rangel, I. H. Farías, L. Cagnina, W. Zaghouani, and A. Charfi. 2018. A survey on author profiling, deception, and irony detection for the arabic language. Language and Linguistics Compass (Wiley Online Library) 12, no. 4: e12275.

Schelleman-Offermans, K., and H. Merckelbach. 2010. Fantasy proneness as a confounder of verbal lie detection tools. Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling (Wiley Online Library) 7, no. 3: 247–260.

Shahi, G. K. , and D. Nandini . 2020. Fake Covid–A Multilingual Cross-domain Fact Check News Dataset for COVID-19. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.11343*

Shen, D., J. Sun, H. Li, Q. Yang, and Z. Chen. 2007. Document summarization using conditional random fields. Vol. 7, In *Proceedings of the 20th international joint conference on Artifical intelligence*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., 2862–2867.

Song, W., L. C. Choi, S. C. Park, and X. F. Ding. 2011. Fuzzy evolutionary optimization modeling and its applications to unsupervised categorization and extractive summarization. Expert Systems with Applications (Elsevier) 38, no. 8: 9112–9121.

Svore, K., L. Vanderwende, and C. Burges. 2007. Enhancing single-document summarization by combining RankNet and third-party sources. In *Proceedings of the 2007 Joint Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and Computational Natural Language Learning (EMNLP-CoNLL)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 448–457.

Toma, C. L., and J. T. Hancock. 2012. What lies beneath: The linguistic traces of deception in online dating profiles. Journal of Communication (Oxford University Press) 62, no. 1: 78–97. Vázquez, E., R. A. García-Hernández, and Y. Ledeneva. 2018. Sentence features relevance for extractive text summarization using genetic algorithms. Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems (IOS Press) 35, no. 1: 353–365.

Wan, X. 2010. Towards a unified approach to simultaneous single-document and multidocument summarizations. In *Proceedings of the 23rd international conference on computational linguistics (COLING 2010)*. CONLING 2010 Organizing Committee, 1137–1145. Xu, R., and D. Wunsch. 2008. Clustering. Vol. 10. John Wiley & Sons.

Xu, Q., and H. Zhao . 2012. Using deep linguistic features for finding deceptive opinion spam. In *Proceedings of COLING 2012: Posters.* COLING 2012 Organizing Committee, 1341–1350.

Employing Computational Linguistics to Improve Patient-Provider Secure Email Exchange: The ECLIPPSE Study

Baayen, R. H., R. Piepenbrock, and L. Gulikers 1996. The CELEX lexical database (cd-rom). Bailey, S. C., A.G. Brega, T.M. Crutchfield, T. Elasy, H. Herr, K. Kaphingst, ... and D. Schillinger 2014. Update on health literacy and diabetes. The Diabetes Educator 40, no. 5: 581–604.

Badarudeen, S. , and S. Sabharwal 2010. Assessing readability of patient education materials: Current role in orthopaedics. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research® 468, no. 10: 2572–2580.

Baker, D. W., D. A. DeWalt, D. Schillinger, V. Hawk, B. Ruo, K. Bibbins-Domingo, ... and M. Pignone 2011. "Teach to goal": Theory and design principles of an intervention to improve heart failure self-management skills of patients with low health literacy. Journal of Health Communication 16, no. sup3: 73–88.

Balyan, R., S. A. Crossley, W. Brown III, A. J. Karter, D. S. McNamara, J. Y. Liu, ... and D. Schillinger 2019. Using natural language processing and machine learning to classify health literacy from secure messages: The ECLIPPSE study. PloS One 14, no. 2: e0212488. Balyan, R., K. S. McCarthy, and D. S. McNamara 2017. Combining machine learning and

natural language processing to assess literary text comprehension. In A. Hershkovitz and L. Paquette (eds.). In Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Educational Data Mining (EDM), Wuhan, China: International Educational Data Mining Society.

Balyan, R., K. S. McCarthy , and D. S. McNamara 2018. Comparing machine learning classification approaches for predicting expository text difficulty. In Proceedings of the 31st Annual Florida Artificial Intelligence Research Society International Conference (FLAIRS). AAAI Press, Florida.

Balyan, R., K. S. McCarthy, and D.S. McNamara 2020. Applying natural language processing and hierarchical machine learning approaches to text difficulty classification. International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education 30, no. 3: 337–370.

Barton, J. L., L. Trupin, D. Schillinger, G. EvansYoung, J. Imboden, V. M. Montori, and E. Yelin 2016. Use of lowliteracy decision aid to enhance knowledge and reduce decisional conflict among a diverse population of adults with rheumatoid arthritis: Results of a pilot study. Arthritis Care & Research 68, no. 7: 889–898.

Berland, G. K., M. N. Elliott , L. S. Morales , J. I. Algazy , R. L. Kravitz , M. S. Broder , ... and E. A. McGlynn 2001. Health information on the internet: Accessibility, quality, and readability in English and Spanish. JAMA 285, no. 20: 2612–2621.

BNC Consortium . 2007. British National Corpus. University of Oxford, Oxford Text Archive Core Collection, UK.

Boulos, M. N. K. 2005. British internet-derived patient information on diabetes mellitus: Is it readable? Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics 7, no. 3: 528–535.

Brach, C., B. P. Dreyer, and D. Schillinger 2014. Physicians' roles in creating health literate organizations: A call to action. Journal of General Internal Medicine 29, no. 2: 273–275.

Brach, C., D. Keller, L. M. Hernandez, C. Baur, R. Parker, B. Dreyer, ... and D. Schillinger 2012. Ten attributes of health literate health care organizations. NAM Perspectives, Institute of Medicine of the National Academes.

Breiman, L. 1996. Bagging predictors. Machine Learning 24, no. 2: 123–140.

Brown III, W., R. Balyan, A. J. Karter, S. Crossley, W. Semere, N. D. Duran, ... and D. Schillinger 2021. Challenges and solutions to employing natural language processing and machine learning to measure patients' health literacy and physician writing complexity: The ECLIPPSE study. Journal of Biomedical Informatics 113: 103658.

Bruce, B. , and A. Rubin 1988. Readability formulas: Matching tool and task. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.

Bruce, B., A. Rubin , and K. Starr 1981. Why readability formulas fail. IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication, no.1: 50–52.

Castro, C. M., C. Wilson, F. Wang, and D. Schillinger 2007. Babel babble: Physicians' use of unclarified medical jargon with patients. American Journal Of Health Behavior 31, no. 1: S85–S95.

Chall, J. S. , and E. Dale 1995. Readability Revisited: The New Dale-Chall Readability Formula. Brookline Books.

Chew, L. D., J. M. Griffin, M. R. Partin, S. Noorbaloochi, J. P. Grill, A. Snyder, ... and M. VanRyn 2008. Validation of screening questions for limited health literacy in a large VA outpatient population. Journal of General Internal Medicine 23, no. 5: 561–566.

Coltheart, M. 1981. The MRC psycholinguistic database. The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Section A 33, no. 4: 497–505.

Crossley, S. A. 2018. How many words needed? Using natural language processing tools in educational data mining. In Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Educational Data Mining (EDM). pp. 630–633.

Crossley, S. A., R. Balyan, J. Liu, A. J. Karter, D. McNamara, and D. Schillinger 2021. Developing and testing automatic models of patient communicative health literacy using linguistic features: Findings from the ECLIPPSE study. Health Communication 36, no. 8: 1018–1028.

Crossley, S. A., R. Balyan, J. Liu, A. J. Karter, D. McNamara, and D. Schillinger 2020. Predicting the readability of physicians' secure messages to improve health communication using novel linguistic features: Findings from the ECLIPPSE study. Journal of Communication in Healthcare 13, no. 4: 344–356.

Crossley, S. A. , J. Greenfield , and D. S. McNamara 2008. Assessing text readability using cognitively based indices. Tesol Quarterly 42, no. 3: 475–493.

Crossley, S. , and V. Kostyuk 2017. Letting the genie out of the lamp: Using natural language processing tools to predict math performance. In International Conference on Language, Data and Knowledge. pp. 330–342. Springer, Cham.

Crossley, S. A. , K. Kyle , and D. S. McNamara 2015. To aggregate or not? Linguistic features in automatic essay scoring and feedback systems. Grantee Submission 8, no. 1. Retrieved from https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1f21q8ck

Crossley, S. A., K. Kyle, and D. S. McNamara 2016. The tool for the automatic analysis of text cohesion (TAACO): Automatic assessment of local, global, and text cohesion. Behavior Research Methods 48, no. 4: 1227–1237.

Crossley, S. A., K. Kyle, and D. S. McNamara 2017. Sentiment analysis and social cognition engine (SEANCE): An automatic tool for sentiment, social cognition, and social-order analysis. Behavior Research Methods 49, no. 3: 803–821.

Crossley, S., L. Paquette, M. Dascalu, D. S. McNamara, and R. S. Baker 2016. Combining click-stream data with NLP tools to better understand MOOC completion. In Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Learning Analytics & Knowledge. pp. 6–14.

Crossley, S. , R. Roscoe , and D. McNamara 2013. Using automatic scoring models to detect changes in student writing in an intelligent tutoring system. In the Twenty-Sixth International FLAIRS Conference.

Crossley, S. A., S. Skalicky, M. Dascalu, D. S. McNamara, and K. Kyle 2017. Predicting text comprehension, processing, and familiarity in adult readers: New approaches to readability formulas. Discourse Processes 54, no. 5-6: 340–359.

Davison, A. , and R. N. Kantor 1982. On the failure of readability formulas to define readable texts: A case study from adaptations. Reading Research Quarterly: 187–209.

De Clercq, O., V. Hoste, B. Desmet, P. Van Oosten, M. De Cock, and L. Macken 2014. Using the crowd for readability prediction. Natural Language Engineering 20, no. 3: 293–325. De Marneffe, M. C., B. MacCartney, and C. D. Manning 2006. Generating typed dependency parses from phrase structure parses. International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation 6: 449–454.

DeWalt, D. A., D.W. Baker, D. Schillinger, V. Hawk, B. Ruo, K. Bibbins-Domingo, ... and M. Pignone 2011. A multisite randomized trial of a single-versus multi-session literacy sensitive self-care intervention for patients with heart failure. Journal of General Internal Medicine 26: S57–S58. 233 Spring st, New York, 10013 USA: Springer.

DeWalt, D. A., K. A. Broucksou, V. Hawk, D. W. Baker, D. Schillinger, B. Ruo, ... & M. Pignone 2009. Comparison of a one-time educational intervention to a teach-to-goal educational intervention for self-management of heart failure: Design of a randomized controlled trial. BMC Health Services Research 9, no. 1: 1–14.

Dumais, S., J. Platt , D. Heckerman , and M. Sahami 1998. Inductive learning algorithms and representations for text categorization. In Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management. pp. 148–155.

Fisher, R. A. 1936. The use of multiple measurements in taxonomic problems. Annals of Eugenics 7, no. 2: 179–188.

Flesch, R. 1948. A new readability yardstick. Journal of Applied Psychology 32, no. 3: 221. Friedman, D. B., and L. Hoffman-Goetz 2006. A systematic review of readability and comprehension instruments used for print and web-based cancer information. Health Education & Behavior 33, no. 3: 352–373.

Fry, E. 1968. A readability formula that saves time. Journal of Reading 11, no. 7: 513–578. Gemoets, D., G. Rosemblat, T. Tse, and R. A. Logan 2004. Assessing readability of consumer health information: An exploratory study. Medinfo. pp. 869–873.

George, D. 2011. SPSS for windows step by step: A simple study guide and reference. 17.0 Update, 10/e. Pearson Education India.

Grabeel, K. L., J. Russomanno, S. Oelschlegel, E. Tester, and R. E. Heidel 2018. Computerized versus hand-scored health literacy tools: A comparison of Simple Measure of Gobbledygook (SMOG) and Flesch-Kincaid in printed patient education materials. Journal of the Medical Library Association: JMLA 106, no. 1: 38.

Graesser, A. C. , D. S. McNamara , and J. M. Kulikowich 2011. Coh-Metrix: Providing multilevel analyses of text characteristics. Educational Researcher 40, no. 5: 223–234.

Graesser, A. C., D. S. McNamara, M. M. Louwerse, and Z. Cai 2004. Coh-Metrix: Analysis of text on cohesion and language. Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers 36, no. 2: 193–202.

Gunning, R. 1952. Technique of Clear Writing. McGraw Hill.

Ha, J. F. , and N. Longnecker 2010. Doctor-patient communication: A review. Ochsner Journal 10, no. 1: 38–43.

Harris, L. T., T. D. Koepsell, S. J. Haneuse, D. P. Martin, and J. D. Ralston 2013. Glycemic control associated with secure patient-provider messaging within a shared electronic medical

record: A longitudinal analysis. Diabetes Care 36, no. 9: 2726–2733.

Hastie, T. , R. Tibshirani , and J. Friedman 2009. The Elements of Statistical Learning: Data Mining, Inference, and Prediction. Springer Science & Business Media.

Hill-Briggs, F. , K. P. Schumann , and O. Dike 2012. 5-step methodology for evaluation and adaptation of print patient health information to meet the < 5th grade readability criterion. Medical Care 50, no. 4: 294.

Hudson, S., R. V. Rikard , I. Staiculescu , and K. Edison 2018. Improving health and the bottom line: The case for health literacy. In Building the Case for Health Literacy: Proceedings of a Workshop. National Academies Press (US).

Institute of Medicine . 2004. Health Ilteracy: A Prescription to End Confusion. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

James, G., D. Witten, T. Hastie, and R. Tibshirani 2013. An Introduction to Statistical Learning, Vol. 112, p. 18. New York: Springer.

Jindal, P. , and J. C. MacDermid 2017. Assessing reading levels of health information: Uses and limitations of flesch formula. Education for Health 30, no. 1: 84.

Joachims, T. 1998. Text categorization with support vector machines: Learning with many relevant features. In European Conference on Machine Learning. pp. 137–142. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer.

Johnson, S. B. 1999. A semantic lexicon for medical language processing. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association 6, no. 3: 205–218.

Kandula, S. , and Q. Zeng-Treitler 2008. Creating a gold standard for the readability measurement of health texts. In AMIA Annual Symposium Proceedings, Vol. 2008, p. 353. American Medical Informatics Association.

Karter, A. J., M. M. Parker, O. K. Duru, D. Schillinger, N. E. Adler, H. H. Moffet, ... and J. A. Schmittdiel 2015. Impact of a pharmacy benefit change on new use of mail order pharmacy among diabetes patients: The Diabetes Study of Northern California (DISTANCE). Health Services Research 50, no. 2: 537–559.

Karter, A. J., M. M. Parker, H. H. Moffet, A. T. Ahmed, J. A. Schmittdiel, and J. V. Selby 2009. New prescription medication gaps: A comprehensive measure of adherence to new prescriptions. Health Services Research 44, no. 5p1: 1640–1661.

Kim, H., S. Goryachev, G. Rosemblat, A. Browne, A. Keselman, and Q. Zeng–Treitler 2007. Beyond surface characteristics: A new health text-specific readability measurement. In AMIA Annual Symposium Proceedings. pp. 418–422. Chicago, IL: American Medical Informatics Association.

Kincaid, J. P., R. P. Fishburne, Jr, R. L. Rogers, and B. S. Chissom 1975. Derivation of new readability formulas (automated readability index, fog count and flesch reading ease formula) for navy enlisted personnel. Naval Technical Training Command Millington TN Research Branch. Kindig, D. A., A. M. Panzer, and L. Nielsen-Bohlman (Eds.). 2004. Health literacy: A prescription to end confusion. National Academies Press.

Kirsch, I. S., A. Jungeblut, L. Jenkins, and A. Kolstad 2002. Adult Literacy in America: A First Look at the Findings of the National Adult Literacy Survey (NCES 1993–275). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.

Krogh, A. , and J. Vedelsby 1995. Neural network ensembles, cross validation, and active learning. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. pp. 231–238.

Kugar, M. A., A. C. Cohen, W. Wooden, S. S. Tholpady, and M. W. Chu 2017. The readability of psychosocial wellness patient resources: Improving surgical outcomes. Journal of Surgical Research 218: 43–48.

Kusec, S., O. Brborovic, and D. Schillinger 2003. Diabetes websites accredited by the Health on the Net Foundation Code of Conduct: Readable or not? Studies in Health Technology and Informatics 95: 655–660.

Kyle, K. 2016. Measuring syntactic development in L2 writing: Fine grained indices of syntactic complexity and usage-based indices of syntactic sophistication. Dissertation, Georgia State University.

Kyle, K. , and S. A. Crossley 2015. Automatically assessing lexical sophistication: Indices, tools, findings, and application. Tesol Quarterly 49, no. 4: 757–786.

Kyle, K., S. Crossley, and C. Berger 2018. The tool for the automatic analysis of lexical sophistication (TAALES): Version 2.0. Behavior Research Methods 50, no. 3: 1030–1046.

Lu, X. 2010. Automatic analysis of syntactic complexity in second language writing. International Journal of Corpus Linguistics 15, no. 4: 474–496.

Lyles, C. R., U. Sarkar, D. Schillinger, J. D. Ralston, J. Y. Allen, R. Nguyen, and A. J. Karter 2016. Refilling medications through an online patient portal: Consistent improvements in adherence across racial/ethnic groups. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association 23, no. e1: e28–e33.

Malvern, D., B. Richards, N. Chipere, and P. Durán 2004. Lexical Diversity and Language Development. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

McAndie, E., A. Gilchrist, and B. Ahamat 2016. Readability of clinical letters sent from a young people's department. Child and Adolescent Mental Health 21, no. 3: 169–174.

McCallum, A. , and K. Nigam 1998. A comparison of event models for naive bayes text classification. AAAI-98 Workshop on Learning for Text Categorization 752, no. 1: 41–48. McCarthy, P. M. 2005. An aSsessment of the Range and Usefulness of Lexical Diversity Measures and the Potential of the Measure of Textual, Lexical Diversity (MTLD)Doctoral dissertation, Tennessee: The University of Memphis.

McLaughlin, G. H. 1969. SMOG grading-a new readability formula. Journal of Reading 12, no. 8: 639–646.

McNamara, D. S. , S. A. Crossley , and P. M. MCcarthy 2010. Linguistic features of writing quality. Written Communication 27, no. 1: 57–86.

McNamara, D. S., S. A. Crossley, and R. Roscoe 2013. Natural language processing in an intelligent writing strategy tutoring system. Behavior Research Methods 45, no. 2: 499–515. McNamara, D. S., S. A. Crossley, R. D. Roscoe, L. K. Allen, and J. Dai 2015. A hierarchical classification approach to automated essay scoring. Assessing Writing 23: 35–59.

McNamara, D. S., A. C. Graesser, P. M. McCarthy, and Z. Cai 2014. Automated Evaluation of Text and Discourse with Coh-Metrix. Cambridge University Press.

McNamara, D. S., R. Roscoe, L. K. Allen, R. Balyan, and K. S. McCarthy 2019. Literacy: From the perspective of text and discourse theory. Journal of Language and Education 5, no. 3: 56–69.

Meade, C. D. , J. C. Byrd , and M. Lee 1989. Improving patient comprehension of literature on smoking. American Journal of Public Health 79, no. 10: 1411–1412.

Miller, G. A. 1995. WordNet: A lexical database for English. Communications of the ACM 38, no. 11: 39–41.

Mitchell, T. M. 1997. Machine Learning. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Moffet, H. H. , N. Adler , D. Schillinger , A. T. Ahmed , B. Laraia , J. V. Selby , ... and A. J. Karter 2009. Cohort profile: The Diabetes Study of Northern California

(DISTANCE)—Objectives and design of a survey follow-up study of social health disparities in a managed care population. International Journal of Epidemiology 38, no. 1: 38–47.

Munsour, E. E., A. Awaisu, M. A. A. Hassali, S. Darwish, and E. Abdoun 2017. Readability and comprehensibility of patient information leaflets for antidiabetic medications in Qatar. Journal of Pharmacy Technology 33, no. 4: 128–136.

Oliffe, M., E. Thompson, J. Johnston, D. Freeman, H. Bagga, and P. K. Wong 2019. Assessing the readability and patient comprehension of rheumatology medicine information sheets: A cross-sectional health literacy study. BMJ Open 9, no. 2: e024582.

Paasche-Orlow, M. K., H. A. Taylor, and F. L. Brancati 2003. Readability standards for informed-consent forms as compared with actual readability. New England Journal of Medicine 348, no. 8: 721–726.

Piñero-López, M. Á., P. Modamio, C. F. Lastra, and E. L. Mariño 2016. Readability analysis of the package leaflets for biological medicines available on the internet between 2007 and 2013: An analytical longitudinal study. Journal of Medical Internet Research 18, no. 5: e100.

Pitler, E. , and A. Nenkova 2008. Revisiting readability: A unified framework for predicting text quality. In Proceedings of the 2008 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing. pp. 186–195.

Protection, P. , and A. C. Act 2010. Patient protection and affordable care act. Public Law 111, no. 48: 759–762.

Ratanawongsa, N., A. J. Karter , M. M. Parker , C. R. Lyles , M. Heisler , H. H. Moffet , ... and D. Schillinger 2013. Communication and medication refill adherence: The diabetes study of Northern California. JAMA Internal Medicine 173, no. 3: 210–218.

Reed, M., J. Huang, R. Brand, I. Graetz, R. Neugebauer, B. Fireman, ... and J. Hsu 2013. Implementation of an outpatient electronic health record and emergency department visits, hospitalizations, and office visits among patients with diabetes. Jama 310, no. 10: 1060–1065. Reed, M., J. Huang, I. Graetz, R. Brand, J. Hsu, B. Fireman, and M. Jaffe 2012. Outpatient electronic health records and the clinical care and outcomes of patients with diabetes mellitus. Annals of Internal Medicine 157, no. 7: 482–489.

Rojas, R. 2013. Neural Networks: A Systematic Introduction. Springer Science & Business Media.

Rubin, A. 1985. How useful are readability formulas. Reading Education: Foundations for a Literate America: 61–77.

Sarkar, U., A. J. Karter, J. Y. Liu, H. H. Moffet, N. E. Adler, and D. Schillinger 2010. Hypoglycemia is more common among type 2 diabetes patients with limited health literacy: The diabetes study of Northern California (DISTANCE). Journal of General Internal Medicine 25, no. 9: 962–968.

Sarkar, U., C. R. Lyles, M. M. Parker, J. Allen, R. Nguyen, H. H. Moffet, ... and A. J. Karter 2014. Use of the refill function through an online patient portal is associated with improved adherence to statins in an integrated health system. Medical Care 52, no. 3: 194.

Sarkar, U., J. D. Piette, R. Gonzales, D. Lessler, L. D. Chew, B. Reilly, ... and D. Schillinger 2008. Preferences for self-management support: Findings from a survey of diabetes patients in safety-net health systems. Patient Education and Counseling 70, no. 1: 102–110.

Sarkar, U., D. Schillinger, A. López, and R. Sudore 2011. Validation of self-reported health literacy questions among diverse English and Spanish-speaking populations. Journal of General Internal Medicine 26, no. 3: 265–271.

Schapire, R. E. , and Y. Singer 2000. BoosTexter: A boosting-based system for text categorization. Machine Learning 39, no. 2-3: 135–168.

Schillinger, D. 2007. Literacy and health communication: Reversing the 'inverse care law'. The American Journal of Bioethics 7, no. 11: 15–18.

Schillinger, D., R. Balyan, S. Crossley, D. McNamara, and A. Karter 2021. Validity of a computational linguistics-derived automated health literacy measure across race/ethnicity: Findings from the ECLIPPSE project. Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved 32, no. 2: 347–365.

Schillinger, D., R. Balyan, S. A. Crossley, D. S. McNamara, J. Y. Liu, and A. J. Karter 2020. Employing computational linguistics techniques to identify limited patient health literacy: Findings from the ECLIPPSE study. Health Services Research. 56, no. 1: 132–144.

Schillinger, D., A. Bindman, F. Wang, A. Stewart, and J. Piette 2004. Functional health literacy and the quality of physician–patient communication among diabetes patients. Patient Education and Counseling 52, no. 3: 315–323.

Schillinger, D. , K. Grumbach , J. Piette , F. Wang , D. Osmond , C. Daher , ... and A. B. Bindman 2002. Association of health literacy with diabetes outcomes. Jama 288, no. 4: 475–482.

Schillinger, D., H. Hammer, F. Wang, J. Palacios, I. McLean, A. Tang, ... and M. Handley 2008. Seeing in 3-D: Examining the reach of diabetes self-management support strategies in a public health care system. Health Education & Behavior 35, no. 5: 664–682.

Schillinger, D. , M. Handley , F. Wang , and H. Hammer 2009. Effects of self-management support on structure, process, and outcomes among vulnerable patients with diabetes: A three-arm practical clinical trial. Diabetes Care 32, no. 4: 559–566.

Schillinger, D., D. McNamara, S. Crossley, C. Lyles, H. H. Moffet, U. Sarkar, ... and A. J. Karter 2017. The next frontier in communication and the ECLIPPSE study: Bridging the linguistic divide in secure messaging. Journal of Diabetes Research 2017.

Schillinger, D., J. Piette, K. Grumbach, F. Wang, C. Wilson, C. Daher, ... and A. B. Bindman 2003. Closing the loop: Physician communication with diabetic patients who have low health literacy. Archives of Internal Medicine 163, no. 1: 83–90.

Schumaier, A. P., R. Kakazu, C. E. Minoughan, and B. M. Grawe 2018. Readability assessment of American shoulder and elbow surgeons patient brochures with suggestions for improvement. JSES Open Access 2, no. 2: 150–154.

Seligman, H. K., F. F. Wang, J. L. Palacios, C. C. Wilson, C. Daher, J. D. Piette, and D. Schillinger 2005. Physician notification of their diabetes patients' limited health literacy: A randomized, controlled trial. Journal of General Internal Medicine 20, no. 11: 1001–1007.

Semere, W., S. Crossley, A. J. Karter, C. R. Lyles, W. Brown, M. Reed, ... and D. Schillinger 2019. Secure messaging with physicians by proxies for patients with diabetes: Findings from the ECLIPPSE Study. Journal of General Internal Medicine 34, no. 11: 2490–2496.

Smith, F. 2012. Understanding Reading: A Psycholinguistic Analysis of Reading and Learning to Read. Routledge.

Smith, S. G., R. O'Conor, L.M. Curtis, K. Waite, I. J. Deary, M. Paasche-Orlow, and M. S. Wolf 2015. Low health literacy predicts decline in physical function among older adults: Findings from the LitCog cohort study. J Epidemiol Community Health 69, no. 5: 474–480.

Smola, A. J. , and B. Schölkopf 1998. Learning with Kernels, Vol. 4. GMD-Forschungszentrum Informationstechnik.

Steiner, J. F., T. D. Koepsell , S. D. Fihn , and T. S. Inui 1988. A general method of compliance assessment using centralized pharmacy records: Description and validation. Medical Care: 814–823.

Steiner, J. F., and A. V. Prochazka 1997. The assessment of refill compliance using pharmacy records: Methods, validity, and applications. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology 50, no. 1: 105–116.

Sudore, R. L., C. S. Landefeld, E. J. Perez-Stable, K. Bibbins-Domingo, B. A. Williams, and D. Schillinger 2009. Unraveling the relationship between literacy, language proficiency, and patient–physician communication. Patient Education and Counseling 75, no. 3: 398–402.

Sudore, R. L., K. Yaffe, S. Satterfield, T. B. Harris, K. M. Mehta, E. M. Simonsick, ... and D. Schillinger 2006. Limited literacy and mortality in the elderly. Journal of General Internal Medicine 21, no. 8: 806–812.

Thompson, P., R. T. Batista-Navarro, G. Kontonatsios, J. Carter, E. Toon, J. McNaught, ... and S. Ananiadou 2016. Text mining the history of medicine. PloS One 11, no. 1: e0144717. Travaline, J. M., R. Ruchinskas, and G. E. D'Alonzo Jr 2005. Patient-physician communication: Why and how. Journal of the American Osteopathic Association 105, no. 1: 13.

Uzuner, Ö. 2009. Recognizing obesity and comorbidities in sparse data. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association 16, no. 4: 561–570.

Uzuner, Ö., I. Goldstein, Y. Luo, and I. Kohane 2008. Identifying patient smoking status from medical discharge records. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association 15, no. 1: 14–24.

Uzuner, Ö., Y. Luo, and P. Szolovits 2007. Evaluating the state-of-the-art in automatic deidentification. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association 14, no. 5: 550–563. Uzuner, Ö., I. Solti, and E. Cadag 2010. Extracting medication information from clinical text. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association 17, no. 5: 514–518.

Walsh, T. M., and T. A. Volsko 2008. Readability assessment of internet-based consumer health information. Respiratory Care 53, no. 10: 1310–1315.

Wang, L. W., M. J. Miller, M. R. Schmitt, and F. K. Wen 2013. Assessing readability formula differences with written health information materials: application, results, and recommendations. Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy 9, no. 5: 503–516.

Wilson, M. 2009. Readability and patient education materials used for low-income populations. Clinical Nurse Specialist 23, no. 1: 33–40.

Wolpert, D. H. 1992. Stacked generalization. Neural Networks 5, no. 2: 241–259.

Wu, D. T. , D. A. Hanauer , Q. Mei , P. M. Clark , L. C. An , J. Lei , ... and K. Zheng 2013. Applying multiple methods to assess the readability of a large corpus of medical documents. Studies in Health Technology and Informatics 192: 647–651.

Zeng–Treitler, Q., S. Kandula, H. Kim, and B. Hill 2012. A method to estimate readability of health content. In Proceedings of HI-KDD 2012: ACM SICKDD Workshop on Health Informatics (HI-KDD 2012), Beijing, China.

Zhang, G. P. 2000. Neural networks for classification: A survey. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part C (Applications and Reviews) 30, no. 4: 451–462.

Zheng, J. , and H. Yu 2017. Readability formulas and user perceptions of electronic health records difficulty: A corpus study. Journal of Medical Internet Research 19, no. 3: e59. Zheng, J. , and H. Yu 2018. Assessing the readability of medical documents: A ranking approach. JMIR Medical Informatics 6, no. 1: e17.

Zhou, Y. Y. , M. H. Kanter , J. J. Wang , and T. Garrido 2010. Improved quality at Kaiser permanente through email between physicians and patients. Health Affairs 29, no. 7: 1370–1375.